

1 **Potential use and perspectives of nitric oxide donors in agriculture**

2

3 Running title: Nitric oxide donors in agriculture

4

5 *Massimiliano Marvasi*

6

7 Department of Natural Sciences, School of Science and Technology, Middlesex University. The  
8 Burroughs, London NW4 4BT, UK. Email: m.marvasi@mdx.ac.uk

9

10

11 ***Abstract***

12 Nitric oxide (NO) has emerged in the last 30 years as a key molecule involved in many  
13 physiological processes in plants, animals and bacteria. Current research has shown that NO can  
14 be delivered via donor molecules. In such cases, NO release rate is dependent upon the chemical  
15 structure of the donor itself and the chemical environment. Despite NO's powerful signaling  
16 effect in plants and animals, the application of NO donors in agriculture is currently not achieved  
17 and research is mainly at the experimental level.

18 Technological development in the field of NO donors is rapidly expanding in scope, to include  
19 controlling seed germination, plant development, ripening, and increasing shelf life of produce.

20 Potential applications in animal production have also been identified.

21 This concise review focuses on the use of donors that have shown potential biotechnological  
22 applications in agriculture. We provide insights into (i) the role of donors in plant production, (ii)  
23 potential use of donors in animal production, and (iii) future approaches to explore the use and  
24 applications of donors for the benefit of agriculture.

25

26 **Keywords:** Nitric oxide donors, plant production, animal production, sodium nitroprusside

27 *Introduction*

28 Nitric oxide (NO) has emerged in the last 30 years as a key molecule involved in many  
29 physiological processes. In animals, NO controls vascular tone, leukocyte adhesion and  
30 aggregation, inhibition of platelet, apoptosis, immune response, inflammation, tissue repair,  
31 neurotransmission and angiogenesis<sup>1-5</sup>. NO has also been described as anticancer agent and as a  
32 key molecule involved in wound repair<sup>6</sup>.

33 In plant, endogenous production of NO is known since the 1970s, and extensive knowledge on  
34 the multiple effects of NO on different physiological and biochemical processes is available<sup>7</sup>.  
35 Emerging evidences suggest that NO function in plants has a more pervasive role during  
36 development than in the other kingdoms<sup>8</sup>. In plants, several different functions of NO have been  
37 extensively reviewed by Yu and collaborators (2014)<sup>8</sup>. NO is required for plant immunity<sup>9,10</sup>,  
38 hypersensitive cellular death<sup>11</sup>, and to cope with abiotic stresses<sup>12,13</sup>. Other functions include root  
39 hair gravitropic responses<sup>14-16</sup>, iron homeostasis<sup>17</sup>, and regulation and balance between auxin and  
40 reactive oxygen intermediates (ROIs)<sup>8</sup>.

41 In prokaryotes NO acts as an antimicrobial or dispersal agent and it is involved in virulence of  
42 bacterial and fungal pathogens<sup>18,19</sup>. NO has been described as a component of the offensive  
43 strategy and developmental signal of hemi/biotrophic fungal and oomycete plant pathogens<sup>20</sup>.  
44 With reference to bacteria, pathogenic bacteria have evolved transcriptional regulatory systems  
45 that perceive NO gas and respond by reprogramming gene expression. NO acts as environmental  
46 cues that trigger the coordinated expression of virulence genes and metabolic adaptations  
47 necessary for survival within the host<sup>21</sup>. Genes involved in nitric oxide perception have been  
48 identified in both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria showing a universal effect of NO-  
49 mediated genetic regulation<sup>22,23</sup>.

50 Due to the universal effect of NO on living organisms, it is not surprising that such molecule has  
51 been used as a tool for biotechnological applications. Delivery of gaseous NO via fumigation has  
52 been demonstrated to alleviate some of the effects of abiotic stress on a wide range of fruits and

53 vegetables<sup>24</sup>. However, it has to be noted that the application of NO as a gas on the industrial  
54 scale has several safety concerns: NO is a gaseous radical species, and its direct delivery, while  
55 possible, has significant limitations. Instead, it is safer and necessary to deliver NO using a  
56 reactive precursor<sup>25</sup>.

57 In biotechnological applications, the delivery on NO is mainly mediated via donor molecules<sup>2,26</sup>.  
58 NO release rate is mediated by the chemical structure of the donor itself and the chemical  
59 environment including pH, light temperature and enzymatic reactions<sup>2,27,28</sup>. NO donors differ in  
60 the kinetics and intensity of the generated NO, in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* conditions<sup>29</sup>. In plant,  
61 the process of donor decomposition depends on numerous factors. For example, in S-nitrosothiols  
62 NO release rate is affected by plant metabolites, such as in the presence of reducing agents, i.e.  
63 ascorbic acid and reduced glutathione (GSH)<sup>29,30</sup>. Endogenous nitric oxide may be additionally  
64 stimulated or inhibited by live plant tissue, thus it is necessary to take into consideration these  
65 aspects when monitoring the amount of NO released by the donor<sup>29</sup>.

66 As previously mentioned, light affects NO releasing rate, for example sodium nitroprusside  
67 (SNP) has been shown to be very photosensitive<sup>29</sup>. *In vivo* experiments supported the hypothesis  
68 that releasing of NO from SNP varies according with light penetration, with highest NO release in  
69 epidermal cells exposed to the light<sup>29</sup>.

70 Of great importance is also the potential neutralization/toxicity of the donors once depleted from  
71 the nitric oxide. Some of them may release toxic, active compounds during their decomposition.  
72 Plant and animal toxicity of by-products needs to be more fully confirmed, especially as  
73 subsequent reactions between decomposition products<sup>31</sup>.

74

75 Different NO releasing-platforms have been extensively reviewed<sup>3,25,32-36</sup>. Examples are:  
76 nanoparticles<sup>37,38</sup>, silica gel<sup>39,40</sup>, hydrogels, xerogels, dendrimers<sup>41-44</sup> and small molecular weight  
77 donor molecules<sup>37,38</sup>. Several reviews summarize the role small donors or nanocarriers for nitric  
78 oxide delivery affecting plant physiological processes<sup>45,46</sup>.

79

80 Due to the wide literature on the fundamental features of NO signaling in plants and animal, this  
81 mini review only focuses on the use of donors that have shown potential biotechnological  
82 applications in agriculture. Use of donors in field treatment has not yet been applied, but a  
83 number of potential applications have been identified. This review provides insights on (i) the  
84 potential role of donors in plant production (Table 1), (ii) potential use of donors in animal  
85 production (Table 2), and (iii) future approaches to explore the use and applications of donors for  
86 the benefit of agriculture.

87

88 *NO donors for controlling seed vigor and dormancy.*

89 Breaking dormancy involves tightly controlled signaling pathways that are important to maximize  
90 growth and crop yield. Selection against dormancy has been always behind any domestication  
91 effort<sup>47</sup>. In some cases, the aim of removing dormancy has not been achieved, and in others, it  
92 has gone too far resulting in susceptibility of pre-harvest sprouting<sup>47</sup>. Mechanical and chemical  
93 strategies have been employed to reduce seed dormancy, such as abrasion of seed or exposure to  
94 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> or NaOCl. However, less aggressive molecules may find application in this context<sup>48</sup>. As  
95 reviewed, SNP can find application to improve germination of seeds, also considering that NO is  
96 a signaling molecule active at very low concentrations (nmolL<sup>-1</sup> or pmolL<sup>-1</sup>) and a minimal  
97 quantity would be required for an effective treatment.

98 When seed dormancy was studied in *Amaranthus retroflexus* (seeds can only germinate over a  
99 limited, high temperature range) exposure to SNP showed that relative dormancy of seeds was  
100 significantly released. Interestingly, dormancy was reverted by using NO specific scavenger 2-  
101 phenyl-4,4,5,5-tetramethylimidazoline-1-oxyl 3-oxide (PTIO), confirming that NO signaling  
102 pathway plays a role in the dormancy release and germination of *A. retroflexus* seeds<sup>46,49</sup>.

103 Interesting data about germination are also available for *Malus domestica* (apple), which has an  
104 important commercial value on the market. In order to germinate, apple seeds must undergo a 3-

105 month long cold stratification. A pre-treatment with SNP resulted in an increase of 60% in  
106 germination of dormant apple embryos (when compared with the untreated controls), and this  
107 effect has been associated with marked increases in  $H_2O_2$  and  $O_2^{\cdot}$  concentrations in the embryos  
108 at early germination stages. Not-dormant embryos germinated well and young seedlings grown  
109 from non-dormant embryos did not exhibit any morphological anomalies, such as asymmetric  
110 growth <sup>50</sup>. However, further research should be conducted to clarify occurrence of anomalies in  
111 yield and quality.

112 Nitric oxide was also identified to foster induction of new rootlets in *Panax ginseng* <sup>51</sup>. NO  
113 released by SNP and S-Nitroso-N-acetyl-DL-penicillamine (SNAP) was shown to activate  
114 NADPH oxidase activity, resulting in higher number of new rootlets in the adventitious root  
115 explants. NO supplied through the donor would enhance antioxidant enzymatic activity reducing  
116  $H_2O_2$  levels, lipid peroxidation, modulation of ascorbate and non-protein thiol concentrations in  
117 the adventitious roots <sup>51</sup>. Interestingly, as complementary approach, the NO scavenger (PTIO, 2-  
118 phenyl-4,4,5,5-tetramethylimidazoline-1-oxyl3-oxide) was used to reveal the contribution of NO  
119 on the formation of new rootlets. The authors showed a significant decline in number of new  
120 rootlets under PTIO treatment <sup>51</sup>. Concluding, low seed vigor and dormancy were controlled by  
121 treating seeds with NO donors, in particular SNP <sup>48</sup>. The use of nitric oxide donors may find  
122 potential application in reducing long dormancy and improve germination rate.

123

#### 124 *NO donors for controlling salt stress*

125 Seed germination is affected by salt stress. Twenty percent of the world's cultivated land and  
126 nearly half of all irrigated lands are currently affected by salinity <sup>52</sup>. High salinity conditions can  
127 cause plant death or decreased productivity at the whole-plant level <sup>53</sup>. The complex regulatory  
128 processes of salt stress involve control of water flux and cellular osmotic adjustment, balance of  
129 cellular ion homeostasis which ultimately has impact on the cellular energy supply and redox  
130 homeostasis <sup>53-55</sup>.

131 The use of donors have found a few encouraging applications to cope with salt stress. In peppers,  
132 the application of SNP has been shown to alleviate the oxidative damage caused by salt stress,  
133 which was mainly achieved by means of enhancing anti-oxidative capability in pepper seedlings  
134 <sup>56</sup>. Studies in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) also confirm the advantageous application of SNP during  
135 50 mM NaCl salt stress response <sup>53</sup>. Barley leaves exposed to 50  $\mu$ M SNP alleviated the damage  
136 of salt stress reflected by decreased ion leakage, malendialdehyde, carbonyl, and hydrogen  
137 peroxide content. In addition exposure to SNP increased the activities of superoxide dismutases,  
138 ascorbate peroxidases, and catalases <sup>52,53,57</sup>. SNP has also been used to pre-treat seed to enhance  
139 seed germination of wheat in high salinity (*Triticum aestivum* L., cv. Huaimai 17). Seeds were  
140 exposed to 0.1 mM SNP plus 300 mM NaCl for 20 h before germination, which increased  
141 germination rate, weights of coleoptile and radicle when compared with NaCl alone. As factors  
142 contributing to such plant development, authors identified that SNP enhanced seed respiration  
143 rate, ATP synthesis, soluble sugar content and decreasing starch content. In addition the treatment  
144 increased the activities of superoxide dismutase and catalase and decreased the release rate of  
145 malondialdehyde, hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), and superoxide anions (O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>) in the mitochondria <sup>58</sup>.

146

#### 147 *NO donors for controlling heavy metal stress*

148 Most of the heavy metals exert their toxicity with two principal mechanisms: as redox active or  
149 not-redox active mechanisms <sup>59</sup>. Autoxidation of redox active metals such as Fe<sup>2+</sup> or Cu<sup>+</sup> may  
150 results in O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> formation and subsequently in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and OH<sup>•</sup>. The toxicity mechanisms of not-  
151 redox active metals are due to their ability to bind to oxygen, nitrogen and sulphur atoms <sup>59</sup>.

152 Copper is an essential micronutrient for plants and it is present in soil. However, copper poses  
153 toxicity at high concentrations possibly by inducing oxidative stress <sup>60</sup>. With the increase of  
154 copper stress, the germination percentage of seeds decreases gradually <sup>60</sup>. Pre-treatment of wheat  
155 seeds with SNP significantly improved wheat seeds germination and alleviated oxidative stress  
156 caused by copper toxicity. Treated seeds retained higher amylase activities when compared with

157 the un-treated controls. Authors identified that seed-pretreatment with SNP stimulated the  
158 activities of superoxide dismutase and catalase, decreased the activities of lipoxygenases,  
159 sustained a lower level of malondialdehyde, and interfered with hydrogen peroxide excessive  
160 accumulation compared with the control, thereby enhancing the antioxidative capacity of wheat  
161 seeds under copper stress <sup>61</sup>.

162 Oxidative stress induced by iron was also modulated by exposing sorghum seedlets (*Sorghum*  
163 *bicolor* (L.) Moench) to SNP or diethylenetriamine NONOate (DETA NONOate) <sup>62</sup>. Authors  
164 showed that incubation of seeds with 1 mmolL<sup>-1</sup> SNP protected against oxidative damage to lipids  
165 and maintained membrane integrity. The content of the siderophore deferoxamine-Fe (III)  
166 complex significantly increased in homogenates of sorghum embryonic axes excised from seeds  
167 incubated in the presence of 1 mM SNP or 1 mM DETA NONOate as compared to the control  
168 (SNP 19±2 nmol Fe g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight (fw), DETA NONOate 15.2±0.5 nmol Fe g<sup>-1</sup> fw, and  
169 Control 8±1 nmol Fe g<sup>-1</sup>, fw). The data presented by Jasid and collaborators (2008) showed that  
170 in exposed sorghum embryonic axes, membranes and proteins were preserved from oxidative  
171 damage during the initial steps of development. The treatment seemed to exert a double effect in  
172 sorghum by increasing iron availability and preventing its toxicity <sup>62</sup>.

173 Use of SNP was effective in the protection of wheat roots from Cadmium-induced oxidative  
174 damage <sup>63</sup>. Cadmium is also present in the environment and it can induce oxidative stress in  
175 plants. Pal Singh (2008) and co-workers identified that SNP has protective role against cadmium  
176 toxicity <sup>63</sup>. 50 or 250 μM cadmium alone or in combination with 200 μM SNP were delivered  
177 hydroponically on grown wheat roots for 24 h. Supplementation of SNP in presence of cadmium  
178 significantly reduced the Cd-induced lipid peroxidation, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> content and electrolyte leakage in  
179 wheat roots <sup>63</sup>. SNP supply with cadmium also decreased activities of scavenging enzymes, such  
180 as superoxide dismutase, guaiacol peroxidase, catalase, and glutathione reductase <sup>63</sup>.

181 Further examples of reduced toxicity of lead and cadmium has also been described by Kopyra and  
182 Gwóźdz (2003) in lupin (*Lupinus luteus* L. cv. Ventus) seed germination <sup>64</sup>. Pretreatment of lupin

183 seedlings for 24 h with 10  $\mu\text{M}$  SNP resulted in efficient reduction of the detrimental effect of  
184 lead, cadmium and sodium chloride. In agreement with literature, the inhibitory effect of heavy  
185 metals on root growth was accompanied by increased activity of superoxide dismutase,  
186 peroxidase and catalase <sup>64</sup>. Similarly in rice, application of 30  $\mu\text{M}$  SNP counteracted partly  
187 100  $\mu\text{M}$  cadmium toxicity by reducing  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  and malondialdehyde contents of Cd-exposed  
188 seedlings. SNP markedly stimulated the activities of superoxide dismutase, ascorbate peroxidase,  
189 guaiacol peroxidase and catalases. With reference to accumulation, Cd accumulation in seedlings  
190 was also significantly reduced by SNP <sup>65</sup>.

191 On the basis of current literature, it can be reasonably assumed the protective effect of NO in  
192 stressed seeds and roots may be at least partly due to the stimulation of antiradicals mechanisms  
193 and/or direct scavenging of the superoxide anion <sup>64</sup>. NO donors could be used to improve soil  
194 management practices or seed preparation for sustainable use in salt or heavy metal affected soils  
195 in future applications <sup>53</sup>.

196

### 197 *Wound healing*

198 Nitric oxide donors could also find biotechnological applications in wound healing. Wounding is  
199 a special type of stress that plants encounter during pathogens attack. Plants have evolved  
200 constitutive and induced defense mechanisms to properly respond to wounding and prevent  
201 infections <sup>66</sup>. After the wound, oligogalacturonides play a pivotal role in eliciting defense  
202 responses, including production of ROS, pathogenesis-related proteins, nitric oxide, phytoalexins,  
203 glucanase, chitinase, and callose that protect plants against pathogen infections <sup>66,67</sup>. Endogenous  
204 NO plays a pivotal role in plant responses to wounding. Studies in pelargonium leaves  
205 (*Pelargonium peltatum L.*) showed the central role that NO plays in NO-mediated lignification  
206 and callose deposition during wound healing <sup>68</sup>. NO caused marked increase in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  level  
207 accompanied by time-dependent inhibition of catalase and ascorbate peroxidase activity.  
208 NO/ $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  ratio restricted the depletion of the low-molecular weight antioxidant pool (i.e. ascorbic



209 acid and thiols) and was positively correlated with sealing and reconstruction in injured  
210 pelargonium leaves leading by lignin formation and callose deposition <sup>68</sup>.  
211 Paris and coworkers showed that SNP can be applied to speed the wound healing response of  
212 potato leaves <sup>69</sup>. Deposition of the cell-wall glucan callose was induced by the application of  
213 SNP, and such induction was additive to the wound-induced callose production. Exposure to SNP  
214 showed an accumulation of wound-related phenylalanine ammonia-lyase enzyme <sup>69</sup>. In another  
215 study, SNP has also been used to control cellulose synthesis in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*)  
216 roots <sup>70</sup>. Nitric oxide affected cellulose content in roots in a dose dependent manner: pmolL<sup>-1</sup> of  
217 SNP increased cellulose content in roots while higher concentrations of nmolL<sup>-1</sup> of SNP had the  
218 opposite effect: In addition, the expression of tomato cellulose synthase (SICESA) transcripts  
219 SICESA1 and SICESA3 levels were repressed by increasing SNP concentrations <sup>70</sup>.  
220 The above mentioned experimental evidences show the possible positive effect that NO donor  
221 may promote in restoration of wounded tissue through stabilization of the cell redox state and  
222 stimulation of the wound scarring processes <sup>68,71</sup>. In terms of agricultural applications, SNP might  
223 potentiate the healing responses in plants leading to a rapid restoration of the damaged tissue via  
224 wound-induced callose and cell wall cellulose production <sup>69,70</sup>.

225

## 226 *Ripening*

227 Of great interests are a few studies aimed in understanding the contribution of NO donors to the  
228 ripening process. Gaseous NO in *Prunus persica* (peach) affects the differential accumulation of  
229 proteins involved in ripening and senescence, consequently the action of SNP has been  
230 investigated to control ripening processes in plants <sup>72</sup>. In a study by Hu and coworkers (2014) <sup>73</sup>,  
231 mangos ‘Guifei’ treated with SNP exhibited a delay in ripening evidenced by the reduction of  
232 metabolic cascades typically involved in the ripening process such as softening, flesh yellowing,  
233 changes in soluble solid contents, titratable acidity, peaks of the respiration rate and ethylene  
234 production <sup>73</sup>. SNP treatment also increased total phenolics, flavonoids and lignin. <sup>73</sup>.

235 Among ripening processes, increase in soluble sugars and synthesis of secondary metabolites are  
236 important factors that support fruit's taste. Further applications of donors can be also found in  
237 herbal medicine. In *Ginkgo biloba*, for example, SNP treatments have increased soluble sugar,  
238 proline and secondary metabolite<sup>74-76</sup>.

239

#### 240 *Post harvested shelf life*

241 Consumers judge the quality of fresh fruit based on the appearance and firmness at the time of the  
242 purchase<sup>77</sup>. Maturity stages ultimately dictate the shelf life and fruit qualities<sup>77</sup>. A  
243 comprehensive review on the applications of NO gas and donors to cope with postharvest stress  
244 of fruits, vegetables and ornamentals is available by Wills and coworkers<sup>77</sup>. In this paragraph,  
245 only applications of NO donors in extending produces' shelf life have been reviewed.

246 Post-harvest strawberries and mushrooms were exposed to diethylenetriamine/nitric oxide  
247 (DETANO), a solid NO-donor compound, in order to extent fruit shelf-life. The treatment was  
248 found to quantitatively liberate NO in the presence of a range of acidic substances including citric  
249 acid<sup>24</sup>. According to the authors, a solid mixture of DETANO, citric acid and wheat starch (added  
250 as a filler and moisture absorbent) at the ratio of 1:10:20 was found to be stable for at least six  
251 months when stored in dry air. When the dry mixture was placed in a container with strawberries  
252 or mushrooms, the moisture released by the produce activated the mixture, resulting in a similar  
253 extension of postharvest life as achieved by direct fumigation with the nitric oxide gas. The  
254 author proposed a commercial use of such compounds via tablets or sachets.

255 Use of DETANO was also reported to inhibit browning in apple slices<sup>78,79</sup>. Fresh-cut apples  
256 (*Malus domestica* Borkh. 'Granny Smith') were dipped in a DETANO solution and the  
257 development of surface browning was examined during subsequent storage at 0°C and 5°C.  
258 Authors found that dipping in the DETANO solution inhibited the development of browning,  
259 considering the solution was slightly acidic buffered. Optimal treatment to delay browning was  
260 the dipping of slices in 10 mg/L DETANO dissolved in a phosphate buffer at pH 6.5. The

261 extension in post-harvest life achieved by DETANO was about 170% (compared to untreated  
262 samples) and the extension in post-harvest life compared to water-dipped slices was about 100%.  
263 Interestingly, ‘Granny Smith’ apple slices exposed to DETANO solution before storage at 5 °C  
264 showed lower level of total phenolics, inhibition of polyphenolic oxidase activity, reduced ion  
265 leakage and reduced rate of respiration but did not show significant effect on ethylene production  
266 or lipid peroxide level as measured by malondialdehyde and hydrogen peroxide levels <sup>79</sup>. A  
267 comprehensive review of the applications of NO gas and donors to cope with postharvest stress of  
268 fruits, vegetables and ornamentals has recently highlighted by Wills and coworkers <sup>24</sup>.

269

#### 270 *Co-application of nitric oxide donors with fertilizers.*

271 To our knowledge only one work is available on co-application on NO donors and fertilizers,  
272 showing perhaps potential applications. Co-application of SNP into a controlled release fertilizer  
273 or sprayed on leaves to supply NO was recently used to cope with iron deficiency stress in peanut  
274 (*Arachis hypogaea* Linn) grown on calcareous soils. Under such conditions, iron deficiency  
275 reduces plant growth and chlorophyll content. Iron homeostasis represents an important topic in  
276 the plant mineral nutrition, since iron is an essential cofactor for fundamental biochemical  
277 activities <sup>80,81</sup>. Iron can be deficient under alkaline and oxidative conditions <sup>81</sup>. An interconversion  
278 between different redox forms based on the iron and NO status of the plant cells might be the core  
279 of a metabolic process driving plant iron homeostasis <sup>82</sup>. 5.63 mg SNP and 18.90 mg FeSO<sub>4</sub> per g  
280 of fertilizer were applied in conjunction with 150 g Kg<sup>-1</sup> nitrogen, 150 g Kg<sup>-1</sup> P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, and 150 g Kg<sup>-1</sup>  
281 K<sub>2</sub>O. The treatment improved peanut growth and alleviated leaf interveinal chlorosis when SNP  
282 was co-applied in presence of iron. The photochemical efficiency and photochemical maximum  
283 efficiency of photosystem II (PSII) increased when compared with the not treated. Minimum  
284 fluorescence yield decreased under NO-treated condition, which supported the protective effect of  
285 NO on PSII in peanut leaves. SNP treatment increased the activities of antioxidant enzymes, and  
286 reduced malondialdehyde accumulation <sup>83</sup>.

287

288 *Perspectives on the use of nanoparticles releasing nitric oxide in produce and crop industry*

289 The application of NO releasing nanoparticles in produce and crop industry is still at a  
290 preliminary stage. To our knowledge, liposomes or chitosan nanoparticles capable of mediating  
291 NO release have not been used in agriculture<sup>45</sup>. Polymeric nanoparticles have been proposed as  
292 cytotoxic agents to treat plant parasites<sup>45</sup>.

293 Formulation of dendrimers has also attracted attention for increasing the efficacy of active  
294 chemicals in agriculture<sup>45</sup>. Dendrimers are synthetic polymers with branching structure that rely  
295 on supramolecular properties which are new dimensions for targeting biofilms featuring drug  
296 encapsulation, binding and delivery to the target site<sup>84,85</sup>. Dendrimers act as a platform for NO  
297 transport and delivery but their application in agriculture is still not explored<sup>3,32</sup>.

298 Finally, the donor S-nitrosoglutathione (GSNO) encapsulated in alginate/chitosan nanoparticles  
299 might be potentially used as controlled release systems applied via foliar route<sup>86</sup>.

300

301 *Perspective on the use of NO donors in livestock industry and dairy production*

302 Only few NO donors currently show potential applications in livestock industry and dairy  
303 production. Indeed, current literature refers mainly to the use of donors for the study of the NO-  
304 mediated response on cellular physiology. Extensive bibliographical research in this field has  
305 shown that only a few papers support potential applications.

306 Donors could find applications in the treatment and prevention of bovine mastitis<sup>87,88</sup>.

307 Alginate/chitosan or chitosan/sodium tripolyphosphate were used to encapsulate the NO-releasing  
308 molecule mercaptosuccinic acid (MSA) generating S-nitroso-MSA-alginate/chitosan particles<sup>88</sup>.

309 *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* isolated from subclinical and clinical bovine mastitis  
310 were killed by using up to 125 µg/mL of S-nitroso-MSA-alginate/chitosan particles. Indeed, the  
311 results indicated that NO-releasing polymeric particles may be an interesting approach to  
312 combating bacterial antibiotic resistances<sup>88</sup>.

313 NO donors could also find application in cow reproduction. Preliminary experiments with SNP  
314 showed that up to  $100\mu\text{molL}^{-1}$  of SNP differentially modulated oviductal contraction in Holstein  
315 cows depended on the type of muscular strips. Results showed the estrous phase-dependent  
316 changes related to the NO metabolic cascades could be of physiological importance to the oviduct  
317 for secretory and ciliary functions involved in gametes and embryo(s) transportation during  
318 reproduction <sup>89</sup>.

319 A similar experiment aimed to understand the role of NO in reproduction showed the contribution  
320 of the donor NOC-18 which induced the release of spermatozoa from the oviductal epithelia. As  
321 complementary approach sperm oviduct interaction was reversed by the addition of 30  $\mu\text{g/ml}$   
322 hemoglobin, a NO scavenger <sup>90</sup>.

323 A few studies are available on the role of NO donors to the control of livestock weight gain <sup>91</sup>. In  
324 these experiments, 50 mg/day of diethylenetriamine-NO (DETA) supplemented to lactating sows  
325 increased their production performance and growth of the nursing piglets. Body weights and  
326 backfat thickness of sows, as well as body weights of piglets were measured at 0, 7, 14, and 21  
327 days of lactation. Significant weight gain in the treatment (40.5 kg) greater than the not treated  
328 (36.5 kg) was achieved up to 21 days of lactation. Dietary DETA supplementation to lactating  
329 sows showed an improved growth of nursing piglets possibly by enhancing nutrient outputs in  
330 milk due to increased blood flow across the mammary gland <sup>91</sup>. On the contrary, SNP treatment  
331 has not shown the same effect of nutrient uptake in chickens. SNP intraperitoneally administered  
332 to chicks did not show any significant change in the nutrient uptake. Authors concluded that in  
333 chicken, NO concentrations above physiological levels was not an important factor in the  
334 regulation of food intake <sup>92</sup>.

335

### 336 *Conclusion*

337 The use of NO donors in agriculture is still in its infancy and applications are only at the  
338 experimental level. However the technology of NO donors is promising, in particular when used

339 as an additive agent. The advantage of using NO donors is the extremely low effective  
340 concentrations (picomolar or nanomolar). In addition, donors have recently been proposed as  
341 dispersant agents to reduce biofilm biomass of pathogen such as *Salmonella*, pathogenic  
342 *Escherichia coli* and *Listeria* from materials of industrial interests<sup>26,93-95</sup>.  
343 Therefore, NO donors could be used to obtain multiple effects during the same application, from  
344 controlling bacterial pathogens to production. Controlling animal health and safety in dairy  
345 production, for example, could be another interesting future application to exploit the potential of  
346 NO donors.

347

#### 348 **Acknowledgements**

349 I do extend my gratitude to Dr. Max Teplitski for his helpful comments during the preparation of  
350 this manuscript.

351

352

#### 353 **References**

- 354 1 Howard MD, Hood ED, Zern B, Shuvaev VV, Grosser T and Muzykantov VR, Nanocarriers for  
355 vascular delivery of anti-inflammatory agents. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* **54**:205-226  
356 (2014).
- 357 2 Wang PG, Cai TB and Taniguchi N, *Nitric oxide donors*. Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co.  
358 KGaA, (2005).
- 359 3 Seabra AB, Justo GZ and Haddad PS, State of the art, challenges and perspectives in the design  
360 of nitric oxide-releasing polymeric nanomaterials for biomedical applications. *Biotechnol Adv*  
361 **33**:1370-1379 (2015).
- 362 4 Kim J, Saravanakumar G, Choi HW, Park D and Kim WJ, A platform for nitric oxide delivery.  
363 *J Mater Chem B* **2**:341-356 (2014).

364 5 Georgii JL, Amadeu TP, Seabra AB, de Oliveira MG and Monte-Alto-Costa A, Topical S-  
365 nitrosoglutathione-releasing hydrogel improves healing of rat ischaemic wounds. *J Tissue Eng*  
366 *Regenerative Med* **5**:612-619 (2011).

367 6 Naghavi N, De Mel A, Alavijeh OS, Cousins BG and Seifalian AM, Nitric oxide donors for  
368 cardiovascular implant applications. *Small* **9**:22-35 (2013).

369 7 Planchet E and Kaiser WM, Nitric oxide production in plants: Facts and fictions. *Plant Signal*  
370 *Behav* **1**:46-51 (2005).

371 8 Yu M, Lamattina L, Spoel SH and Loake GJ, Nitric oxide function in plant biology: a redox cue  
372 in deconvolution. *New Phytol* **202**:1142-1156 (2014).

373 9 Tada Y, Spoel SH, Pajerowska-Mukhtar K, Mou Z, Song J, Wang C, Zuo J and Dong X, Plant  
374 immunity requires conformational changes of NPR1 via S-nitrosylation and thioredoxins.  
375 *Science* **321**:952-956 (2008).

376 10 Wang Y, Feechan A, Yun B, Shafiei R, Hofmann A, Taylor P, Xue P, Yang F, Xie Z, Pallas  
377 JA, Chu C and Loake GJ, S-Nitrosylation of AtSABP3 Antagonizes the expression of plant  
378 immunity. *J Biol Chem* **284**:2131-2137 (2009).

379 11 Romero-Puertas MC, Laxa M, Mattè A, Zaninotto F, Finkemeier I, Jones AME, Perazzolli M,  
380 Vandelle E, Dietz K and Delledonne M, S-Nitrosylation of peroxiredoxin II E promotes  
381 peroxynitrite-mediated tyrosine nitration. *Plant Cell* **19**:4120-4130 (2007).

382 12 Zhang A, Jiang M, Zhang J, Ding H, Xu S, Hu X and Tan M, Nitric oxide induced by  
383 hydrogen peroxide mediates abscisic acid-induced activation of the mitogen-activated protein  
384 kinase cascade involved in antioxidant defense in maize leaves. *New Phytol* **175**:36-50 (2007).

385 13 Cantrel C, Vazquez T, Puyaubert J, Rezé N, Lesch M, Kaiser WM, Dutilleul C, Guillas I,  
386 Zachowski A and Baudouin E, Nitric oxide participates in cold-responsive  
387 phosphosphingolipid formation and gene expression in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *New Phytol*  
388 **189**:415-427 (2011).

389 14 Lombardo MC, Graziano M, Polacco JC and Lamattina L, Nitric oxide functions as a positive  
390 regulator of root hair development. *Plant Signaling Behav* **1**:28-33 (2006).

391 15 Kwon E, Feechan A, Yun B, Hwang B, Pallas JA, Kang J and Loake GJ, AtGSNOR1 function  
392 is required for multiple developmental programs in *Arabidopsis*. *Planta* **236**:887-900 (2012).

393 16 Hu X, Neill SJ, Tang Z and Cai W, Nitric oxide mediates gravitropic bending in soybean  
394 roots. *Plant Physiol* **137**:663-670 (2005).

395 17 Graziano M and Lamattina L, Nitric oxide accumulation is required for molecular and  
396 physiological responses to iron deficiency in tomato roots. *Plant J* **52**:949-960 (2007).

397 18 Arasimowicz-Jelonek M and Floryszak-Wieczorek J, Nitric oxide: an effective weapon of the  
398 plant or the pathogen? *Mol Plant Pathol* **15**:406-416 (2014).

399 19 Crane B, The enzymology of nitric oxide in bacterial pathogenesis and resistance. *Biochem*  
400 *Soc Trans* **36**:1149-1154 (2008).

401 20 Arasimowicz-Jelonek M and Floryszak-Wieczorek J, Nitric oxide in the offensive strategy of  
402 fungal and oomycete plant pathogens. *Front Plant Sci* **7**:252 (2016).

403 21 Green J, Rolfe MD and Smith LJ, Transcriptional regulation of bacterial virulence gene  
404 expression by molecular oxygen and nitric oxide. *Virulence JID* **-5**:8 (2014).

405 22 Firoved AM, Wood SR, Ornatowski W, Deretic V and Timmins GS, 419947; Microarray  
406 analysis and functional characterization of the nitrosative stress response in nonmucoid and  
407 mucoid *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Bacteriol* **186**:4046-4050 (2004).

408 23 Xiong Y and Liu Y, Biological control of microbial attachment: a promising alternative for  
409 mitigating membrane biofouling. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **86**:825-837 (2010).

410 24 Wills RBH, Pristijono P and Golding JB, Nitric oxide and postharvest stress of fruits,  
411 vegetables and ornamentals. in *Nitric Oxide Action in Abiotic Stress Responses in Plants*, eds.  
412 by Khan NM, Mobin M, Mohammad F and Corpas JF, Springer International Publishing,  
413 Cham, pp. 221-238 (2015).



- 414 25 Quinn JF, Whittaker MR and Davis TP, Delivering nitric oxide with nanoparticles. *J*  
415 *Controlled Release* **205**:190-205 (2015).
- 416 26 Barraud N, Storey MV, Moore ZP, Webb JS, Rice SA and Kjelleberg S, Nitric oxide-mediated  
417 dispersal in single- and multi-species biofilms of clinically and industrially relevant  
418 microorganisms. *Microb Biotechnol* **2**:370-378 (2009).
- 419 27 Maragos CM, Morley D, Wink DA, Dunams TM, Saavedra JE, Hoffman A, Bove AA, Isaac  
420 L, Hrabie JA and Keefer LK, Complexes of NO with nucleophiles as agents for the controlled  
421 biological release of nitric oxide: Vasorelaxant effects. *J Med Chem* **34**:3242-3247 (1991).
- 422 28 Keefer LK, Nims RW, Davies KM and Wink DA, “NONOates” (1-substituted diazen-1-ium-  
423 1,2-diolates) as nitric oxide donors: Convenient nitric oxide dosage forms. *Method Enzymol*  
424 **268**:281-293 (1996).
- 425 29 Floryszak-Wieczorek J, Milczarek G, Arasimowicz M and Ciszewski A, Do nitric oxide  
426 donors mimic endogenous NO-related response in plants? *Planta* **224**:1363-1372 (2006).
- 427 30 Noctor G, Mhmadi A, Chaouch S, Han Y, Neukermans J, Marquez-Garcia B, Queval G and  
428 Foyer CH, Glutathione in plants: an integrated overview. *Plant, Cell Environ* **35**:454-484  
429 (2012).
- 430 31 Miller MR and Megson IL, Recent developments in nitric oxide donor drugs. *Br J Pharmacol*  
431 **151**:305-321 (2007).
- 432 32 Roveda Júnior AC and Douglas Wagner F, Nitric oxide releasing-dendrimers: An overview.  
433 *Braz J Pharm Sci* **49**:1-14 (2013).
- 434 33 Eroy-Reveles A and Mascharak PK, Nitric oxide-donating materials and their potential in  
435 pharmacological applications for site-specific nitric oxide delivery. *Future Medicinal*  
436 *Chemistry* **1**:1497-1507 (2009).
- 437 34 Tfouni E, Truzzi DR, Tavares A, Gomes AJ, Figueiredo LE and Franco DW, Biological  
438 activity of ruthenium nitrosyl complexes. *Nitric Oxide* **26**:38-53 (2012).

439 35 Riccio DA and Schoenfisch MH, Nitric oxide release: part I. Macromolecular scaffolds. *Chem*  
440 *Soc Rev* **41**:3731-3741 (2012).

441 36 Jen MC, Serrano MC, van Lith R and Ameer GA, Polymer-based nitric oxide therapies:  
442 Recent insights for biomedical applications. *Adv Funct Mater* **22**:239-260 (2012).

443 37 Friedman AJ, Han G, Navati MS, Chacko M, Gunther L, Alfieri A and Friedman JM,  
444 Sustained release nitric oxide releasing nanoparticles: characterization of a novel delivery  
445 platform based on nitrite containing hydrogel/glass composites. *Nitric Oxide* **19**:12-20 (2008).

446 38 Seabra AB and Duran N, Nitric oxide-releasing vehicles for biomedical applications. *J Mater*  
447 *Chem* **20**:1624-1637 (2010).

448 39 Zanichelli PG, Sernaglia RL and Franco DW, Immobilization of the RuII(edta)NO<sup>+</sup>] Ion on  
449 the surface of functionalized silica gel. *Langmuir* **22**:203-208 (2006).

450 40 Doro FG, Rodrigues-Filho UP and Tfouni E, A regenerable ruthenium tetraammine nitrosyl  
451 complex immobilized on a modified silica gel surface: Preparation and studies of nitric oxide  
452 release and nitrite-to-NO conversion. *J Colloid Interface Sci* **307**:405-417 (2007).

453 41 Stasko NA, Fischer TH and Schoenfisch MH, S-Nitrosothiol-modified dendrimers as nitric  
454 oxide delivery vehicles. *Biomacromolecules* **9**:834-841 (2008).

455 42 Stasko NA and Schoenfisch MH, Dendrimers as a Scaffold for Nitric Oxide Release. *J Am*  
456 *Chem Soc* **128**:8265-8271 (2006).

457 43 Lu Y, Sun B, Li C and Schoenfisch MH, Structurally diverse nitric oxide-releasing  
458 poly(propylene imine) dendrimers. *Chem Mater* **23**:4227-4233 (2011).

459 44 Benini PGZ, McGarvey BR and Franco DW, Functionalization of PAMAM dendrimers with  
460 [RuIII(edta)(H<sub>2</sub>O)]<sup>-</sup>. *Nitric Oxide* **19**:245-251 (2008).

461 45 Seabra AB, Rai M and Durã;n N, Nano carriers for nitric oxide delivery and its potential  
462 applications in plant physiological process: A mini review. *J Plant Biochem Biot* **23**:1-10  
463 (2013).

464 46 Krasnylenko YA, Yemets AI and Blume YB, Functional role of nitric oxide in plants. *Russian J*  
465 *Plant Physiol* **57**:451-461 (2010).

466 47 Benech-Arnold R, Rodriguez MV and Batlla D, Seed Dormancy and Agriculture, Physiology.  
467 in *Encyclopedia of Sustainability Science and Technology*, ed. by Meyers ARSpringer New  
468 York, New York, NY, pp. 1-14 (2015).

469 48 Haynes JG, Pill WG and Evans TA, Seed treatments improve the germination and seedling  
470 emergence of switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L.). *HortScience* **32**:1222-1226 (1997).

471 49 Liu X, Deng Z, Cheng H, He X and Song S, Nitrite, sodium nitroprusside, potassium  
472 ferricyanide and hydrogen peroxide release dormancy of *Amaranthus retroflexus* seeds in a  
473 nitric oxide-dependent manner. *Plant Growth Regul* **64**:155-161 (2010).

474 50 Gniazdowska A, Krasuska U, Czajkowska K and Bogatek R, Nitric oxide, hydrogen cyanide  
475 and ethylene are required in the control of germination and undisturbed development of young  
476 apple seedlings. *Plant Growth Regul* **61**:75-84 (2010).

477 51 Tewari RK, Hahn E and Paek K, Function of nitric oxide and superoxide anion in the  
478 adventitious root development and antioxidant defence in *Panax ginseng*. *Plant Cell Rep*  
479 **27**:563-573 (2008).

480 52 Zhu J, Plant salt tolerance. *Trends Plant Sci* **6**:66-71 (2001).

481 53 Li Q, Niu H, Yin J, Wang M, Shao H, Deng D, Chen X, Ren J and Li Y, Protective role of  
482 exogenous nitric oxide against oxidative-stress induced by salt stress in barley (*Hordeum*  
483 *vulgare*). *Colloid Surface B* **65**:220-225 (2008).

484 54 Gollmack D, Li C, Mohan H and Probst N, Tolerance to drought and salt stress in plants:  
485 Unraveling the signaling networks. *Front Plant Sci* **5**:151 (2014).

486 55 Noctor G and Foyer CH, Ascorbate and Glutathione: Keeping active oxygen under control.  
487 *Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol* **49**:249-279 (1998).

488 56 Yu Ji-hua, Yong Shan-yu, Zhang Jie-bao, Xu Xiao-yun, Yan Xiao-hua and Wang Yu-jie,  
489 Protective effects of exogenous nitric oxide on oxidative damage in pepper seedlings under  
490 NaCl stress. *Xibei Zhiwu Xuebao* **27**:1801-1806 (2007).

491 57 Parida AK and Das AB, Salt tolerance and salinity effects on plants: a review. *Ecotoxicol*  
492 *Environ Saf* **60**:324-349 (2005).

493 58 Zheng C, Jiang D, Liu F, Dai T, Liu W, Jing Q and Cao W, Exogenous nitric oxide improves  
494 seed germination in wheat against mitochondrial oxidative damage induced by high salinity.  
495 *Environ Exp Bot* **67**:222-227 (2009).

496 59 Schützendübel A and Polle A, Plant responses to abiotic stresses: heavy metal-induced  
497 oxidative stress and protection by mycorrhization. *J Exp Bot* **53**:1351-1365 (2002).

498 60 Gill T, Dogra V, Kumar S, Ahuja PS and Sreenivasulu Y, Protein dynamics during seed  
499 germination under copper stress in *Arabidopsis* over-expressing Potentilla superoxide  
500 dismutase. *J Plant Res* **125**:165-172 (2011).

501 61 Hu K, Hu L, Li Y, Zhang F and Zhang H, Protective roles of nitric oxide on germination and  
502 antioxidant metabolism in wheat seeds under copper stress. *Plant Growth Regul* **53**:173-183  
503 (2007).

504 62 Jasid S, Simontacchi M and Puntarulo S, Exposure to nitric oxide protects against oxidative  
505 damage but increases the labile iron pool in sorghum embryonic axes. *J Exp Bot* **59**:3953-3962  
506 (2008).

507 63 Singh HP, Batish DR, Kaur G, Arora K and Kohli RK, Nitric oxide (as sodium nitroprusside)  
508 supplementation ameliorates Cd toxicity in hydroponically grown wheat roots. *Environ Exp*  
509 *Bot* **63**:158-167 (2008).

510 64 Kopyra M and Gwózdź EA, Nitric oxide stimulates seed germination and counteracts the  
511 inhibitory effect of heavy metals and salinity on root growth of *Lupinus luteus*. *Plant Physiol*  
512 *Biochem* **41**:1011-1017 (2003).

513 65 He J, Ren Y, Chen X and Chen H, Protective roles of nitric oxide on seed germination and  
514 seedling growth of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under cadmium stress. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf*  
515 **108**:114-119 (2014).

516 66 Savatin DV, Gramegna G, Modesti V and Cervone F, Wounding in the plant tissue: the  
517 defense of a dangerous passage. *Front Plant Sci* **5** (2014).

518 67 Ferrari S, Savatin DV, Sicilia F, Gramegna G, Cervone F and De Lorenzo G,  
519 Oligogalacturonides: plant damage-associated molecular patterns and regulators of growth and  
520 development. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **4** (2013).

521 68 Arasimowicz M, Floryszak-Wieczorek J, Milczarek G and Jelonek T, Nitric oxide, induced by  
522 wounding, mediates redox regulation in pelargonium leaves. *Plant Biol* **11**:650-663 (2009).

523 69 Paris R, Lamattina L and Casalongué CA, Nitric oxide promotes the wound-healing response  
524 of potato leaflets. *Plant Physiol Biochem* **45**:80-86 (2007).

525 70 Correa-Aragunde N, Lombardo C and Lamattina L, Nitric oxide: an active nitrogen molecule  
526 that modulates cellulose synthesis in tomato roots. *New Phytol* **179**:386-396 (2008).

527 71 Floryszak-Wieczorek J, Arasimowicz M, Milczarek G, Jelen H and Jackowiak H, Only an  
528 early nitric oxide burst and the following wave of secondary nitric oxide generation enhanced  
529 effective defence responses of pelargonium to a necrotrophic pathogen. *New Phytol* **175**:718-  
530 730 (2007).

531 72 Kang R, Zhang L, Jiang L, Yu M, Ma R and Yu Z, Effect of postharvest nitric oxide treatment  
532 on the proteome of peach fruit during ripening. *Postharvest Biol Technol* **112**:277-289 (2016).

533 73 Hu M, Yang D, Huber DJ, Jiang Y, Li M, Gao Z and Zhang Z, Reduction of postharvest  
534 anthracnose and enhancement of disease resistance in ripening mango fruit by nitric oxide  
535 treatment. *Postharvest Biol Technol* **97**:115-122 (2014).

536 74 Fujisawa M and Ito Y, The regulatory mechanism of fruit ripening revealed by analyses of  
537 direct targets of the tomato MADS-box transcription factor RIPENING INHIBITOR. *Plant*  
538 *Signal Behav* **8** (2013).

539 75 Wang Z, Zhang J, Ren T and Dong Z, Targeted metabolomic profiling of cardioprotective  
540 effect of *Ginkgo biloba* L. extract on myocardial ischemia in rats. *Phytomedicine* **23**:621-631  
541 (2016).

542 76 Hao Gang-Ping, Du Xi-Hua and Shi Ren-Jiu, Exogenous nitric oxide accelerates soluble  
543 sugar, proline and secondary metabolite synthesis in *Ginkgo biloba* under drought stress.  
544 *Zhiwu Shengli yu Fenzi Shengwuxue Xuebao* **33**:499-506 (2007).

545 77 Rahman MM, Moniruzzaman M, Ahmad MR, Sarker BC and Khurshid Alam M, Maturity  
546 stages affect the postharvest quality and shelf-life of fruits of strawberry genotypes growing in  
547 subtropical regions. *J Saudi Soc Agricol Sci* **15**:28-37 (2016).

548 78 Pristijono P, Wills RBH and Golding JB, Use of the nitric oxide-donor compound,  
549 diethylenetriamine-nitric oxide (DETANO), as an inhibitor of browning in apple slices. *J*  
550 *Hortic Sci Biotech* **83**:555-558 (2008).

551 79 Huque R, Wills RBH, Pristijono P and Golding JB, Effect of nitric oxide (NO) and associated  
552 control treatments on the metabolism of fresh-cut apple slices in relation to development of  
553 surface browning. *Postharvest Biol Technol* **78**:16-23 (2013).

554 80 Vigani G, Zocchi G, Bashir K, Philippar K and Briat JF, Cellular iron homeostasis and  
555 metabolism in plant. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **4**:490 (2013).

556 81 Zheng SJ, Iron homeostasis and iron acquisition in plants: maintenance, functions and  
557 consequences. *Annals of Botany* **105**:799-800 (2010).

558 82 Ramirez L, Zabaleta EJ and Lamattina L, Nitric oxide and frataxin: two players contributing to  
559 maintain cellular iron homeostasis. *Annals of Botany* **105**:801-810 (2010).

560 83 Zhang XW, Dong YJ, Qiu XK, Hu GQ, Wang YH and Wang QH, Exogenous nitric oxide  
561 alleviates iron-deficiency chlorosis in peanut growing on calcareous soil. *Plant, Soil and*  
562 *Environment* **58**:111-120 (2012).

563 84 Zarena AS and Gopal S, Dendrimer a new dimension in targeting biofilms. *Mini Rev Med*  
564 *Chem* **13**:1448-1461 (2013).

565 85 Astruc D, Boisselier E and Ornelas C, Dendrimers designed for functions: From physical,  
566 photophysical, and supramolecular properties to applications in sensing, catalysis, molecular  
567 electronics, photonics, and nanomedicine. *Chem Rev* **110**:1857-1959 (2010).

568 86 Pereira AES, Narciso AM, Seabra AB and Fraceto LF, Evaluation of the effects of nitric  
569 oxide-releasing nanoparticles on plants. *4th International Conference on Safe Production and*  
570 *use of Nanomaterials (Nanosafe2014)* **617**:012025 (2015).

571 87 Bouchard L, Blais S, Desrosiers C, Zhao X and Lacasse P, Nitric oxide production during  
572 endotoxin-induced mastitis in the cow. *J Dairy Sci* **82**:2574-2581.

573 88 Cardozo VF, Lancheros CAC, Narciso AM, Valereto ECS, Kobayashi RKT, Seabra AB and  
574 Nakazato G, Evaluation of antibacterial activity of nitric oxide-releasing polymeric particles  
575 against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* from bovine mastitis. *Int J Pharm* **473**:20-  
576 29 (2014).

577 89 Yilmaz O, CaÅ,ka J, Bukowski R, Zalecki M, Wasowicz K, Jaroszewski JJ, Markiewicz W,  
578 Bulbul A and Ucar M, Nitric oxide in the bovine oviduct: Influence on contractile activity and  
579 nitric oxide synthase isoforms localization. *Theriogenology* **77**:1312-1327.

580 90 Osycka-Salut C, Gervasi MG, Pereyra E, Cella M, Ribeiro ML, Franchi AM and Perez-  
581 Martinez S, Anandamide induces sperm release from oviductal epithelia through nitric oxide  
582 pathway in bovines. *PLoS ONE* **7**:e30671 (2012).

583 91 Sung Woo K and Guomao W, Dietary supplementation with a nitric oxide donor to the diet for  
584 lactating sows enhances the growth of suckling piglets. *The FASEB Journal* **22**:1116.3 (2008).

585 92 Choi Y, Ohno N, Okumura J and Furuse M, Effects of peripheral nitric oxide on food intake in  
586 the chick. *Japanese Poul Sci* **33**:286-291 (1996).

587 93 Marvasi M, Chen C, Carrazana M, Durie IA and Teplitski M, Systematic analysis of the  
588 ability of nitric oxide donors to dislodge biofilms formed by *Salmonella enterica* and  
589 *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. *AMB Express* **4**:42-014-0042-y. (2014).

590 94 Marvasi M, Durie IA, McLamore ES, Vanegas DC and Chaturvedi P, *Salmonella enterica*  
591 biofilm-mediated dispersal by nitric oxide donors in association with cellulose nanocrystal  
592 hydrogels. *AMB Express* **5** (2015).

593 95 Marvasi M, Durie IA, Henriquez T, Satkute A, Matuszewska M and Prado RC, Dispersal of  
594 human and plant pathogens biofilms via nitric oxide donors at 4 °C. *AMB Express* **6**:1-9  
595 (2016).

596 96 Krasuska U and Gniazdowska A, Nitric oxide and hydrogen cyanide as regulating factors of  
597 enzymatic antioxidant system in germinating apple embryos. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*  
598 **34**:683-692 (2011).

599 97 Tyagi JS, Sastry KVH, Mohan J and Sirajudeen M, Effect of sodium nitroprusside, a nitric  
600 oxide donor on in vivo uptake of nutrients in broiler chicken. *Indian J Poul Sci* **37**:90-92  
601 (2002).

602

603

604