



## **Employee Relations**

A new living contract: cases in the implementation of the Living Wage by British SME retailers

Andrea Werner, Ming Lim,

## **Article information:**

To cite this document:

Andrea Werner, Ming Lim, (2017) "A new living contract: cases in the implementation of the Living Wage by British SME retailers", Employee Relations, Vol. 39 Issue: 6, pp.850-862, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-07-2017-0150">https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-07-2017-0150</a>

Permanent link to this document:

https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-07-2017-0150

Downloaded on: 08 September 2017, At: 03:03 (PT)

References: this document contains references to 43 other documents.

Access to this document was granted through an Emerald subscription provided by All users group

#### For Authors

If you would like to write for this, or any other Emerald publication, then please use our Emerald for Authors service information about how to choose which publication to write for and submission guidelines are available for all. Please visit www.emeraldinsight.com/authors for more information.

## About Emerald www.emeraldinsight.com

Emerald is a global publisher linking research and practice to the benefit of society. The company manages a portfolio of more than 290 journals and over 2,350 books and book series volumes, as well as providing an extensive range of online products and additional customer resources and services.

Emerald is both COUNTER 4 and TRANSFER compliant. The organization is a partner of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) and also works with Portico and the LOCKSS initiative for digital archive preservation.

\*Related content and download information correct at time of download.

ER 39,6

850

Received 5 July 2017 Accepted 6 July 2017

# A new living contract: cases in the implementation of the Living Wage by British SME retailers

Andrea Werner

Middlesex University Business School, Middlesex University, London, UK, and Ming Lim

University of Liverpool Management School, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

#### Abstract

**Purpose** – The purpose of this paper is to provide evidence for the motivations of SMEs for introducing the Living Wage (LW), focusing on retail as one specific sector. It develops understanding of the strategic benefits and challenges these employers face in balancing financial, ethical and social considerations in small businesses.

**Design/methodology/approach** – The study employed mixed methods: a survey and semi-structured interviews. The interviews are presented as part of three case studies.

**Findings** – Findings indicate that employers were, for the most part, motivated by personal ethics and beliefs about fair wages and social justice. They suggest that SME accrue benefits from LW accreditation such as reputational benefits and higher employee morale, but that there are also potential pitfalls of LW adoption related to pay structure and incentives. The sustainability of the LW emerged as an issue in terms of the long-term strategy and brand positioning of the companies involved.

**Research limitations/implications** – The nature of the research was exploratory and thus the study only allows for limited generalisation. Recommended avenues for further research include gathering data from different levels of organisations and from different stakeholders.

Originality/value — This is the first paper to investigate the drivers for, and implementation of, the LW in SMEs. The specific characteristics of SMEs — their ethos, low visibility, reliance on trusting relationships and limited resources, among others — make the study of why and how they choose to implement the LW very interesting. This study is the first to gather and analyse data from SME retailers and wholesalers that have implemented the LW.

Keywords United Kingdom, Case studies, Ethics, Living wage, Small- to medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), Retail/Wholesale

Paper type Research paper

#### Introduction

Over the past few decades, developed economies in the west have continued to grapple with a series of seemingly intractable problems in the context of labour productivity, intense competition from emerging markets, the rise of low-skilled and low-paid jobs, chronically un- and underemployed workers and stagnant or decreasing wages (Eurostat, 2016; Clarke and D'Arcy, 2016). The more recent rise of the "gig economy" involving zero-hour work contracts and "forced" self-employment has further intensified employer-employee conflicts (Pennycook *et al.*, 2013; Broughton and Richards, 2016).



Employee Relations Vol. 39 No. 6, 2017 pp. 850-862 Emerald Publishing Limited 0142-5455 DOI 10.1108/ER-07-2017-0150 © Andrea Werner and Ming Lim. Published by Emerald Publishing Limited. This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) licence. Anyone may reproduce, distribute, translate and create derivative works of this article (for both commercial and non-commercial purposes), subject to full attribution to the original publication and authors. The full terms of this licence may be seen at http://creativecommons.org/licences/by/4.0/legalcode

The authors would like to thank the Barrow Cadbury Trust for funding this study and the Living Wage Foundation for its assistance in gaining access to respondents.

of the Living

The specific issue of low wages is a persistent concern in the UK. It was estimated in Implementation April 2015 that 21 per cent, or 5.7 million individuals, were "low paid" in Great Britain (Clarke and D'Arcy, 2016). In response to this, there has been a growing debate around the Living Wage (LW) in the UK (Wills, 2009) and elsewhere, as employers seek to balance profit-seeking with increasing demands for fair wages. Part of the impetus for introducing the LW, a wage that enables workers to meet the cost of living, is the restoration of a greater degree of social and economic justice and fairness in the economy as it seeks to address the twin problem of in-work poverty and societal inequality (Waltman, 2004; Wills, 2009). Neo-liberal critics, however, have been more sceptical as to the business and economic sense of the concept (Bourne, 2015).

A policy response to the issue of low wages in the UK has been the introduction of a mandatory National Living Wage (NLW) for over 25s, which was implemented in April 2016. Its current rate (£7.50 in April 2017), however, is not deemed to be sufficiently high enough for people to make ends meet (Inman, 2016). A more suitable benchmark for addressing low pay remains the "real" or "full" LW which is set by the Living Wage Foundation (www.livingwage.org.uk/). The current LW rate is £9.75 in London, and £8.45 elsewhere in the UK.

Unlike the NLW, the "full" LW is paid voluntarily by employers. The voluntary nature of this scheme makes it an interesting research opportunity, for several reasons: it allows to explore the motivations of employers for adopting a higher than legally required wage rate, the impact of its adoption on organisational strategy, as well as challenges, costs and benefits of LW implementation for the organisation (Werner and Lim, 2016a).

Following on from previous, published research that analysed the philosophical and political dimensions of the LW in both the UK and the USA (Werner and Lim, 2016a), this paper focusses on empirical research findings of SME employers in the UK. This study is the first of its kind to explore the adoption of the voluntary LW in small- and medium-sized enterprises. To date, the motivations and implementation of the LW in British SMEs have not been researched in depth; despite the fact that 99.9 per cent of all private sector businesses are SMEs, and SMEs contribute £1.8 trillion to the UK economy, employing over 15.7 million workers (Department for Business, Enterprise, Innovation and Skills (DBEIS), 2016).

Private sector SMEs constitute over half of all accredited LW employers (at the time of writing 3,300 in total). The large number of SMEs among accredited LW employers may appear somewhat surprising, given that small businesses are usually perceived as having fewer resources available (Carland et al., 1984) and thus less able to afford paying higher wages to their staff. At the same time, characteristics of SMEs, such as the co-incidence of ownership and control, may also enable SMEs to act responsibly (Spence, 1999; Egels-Zandén, 2017).

This paper focuses on SMEs in a range of sectors including hospitality, care, retail, construction and manufacturing, many of which are perceived to be low-waged sectors. To keep this paper tractable, we focus on the retail industry. This study makes several key contributions to the subject. First, there is a paucity of empirical research on the motivations of SME employers for signing up to, and paying, their employees the LW. This study provides important insights into the drivers and motivators for the decision to adopt the LW in a neglected segment of the economy: SMEs. SMEs contribute hugely to the British economy and yet, their contributions to making Britain a fairer society is still under-recognised. This paper addresses this imbalance in the literature on employee relations, wages and the economy as a whole. Second, this study identifies the benefits and challenges employers face when implementing the LW within their organisations. Last, but not least, this study paves the way for a better understanding of the likely future of the LW and its implications for future policy and research in industrial relations.

The paper is structured as follows. Following this introduction, it will set out the philosophical arguments for the LW, explain the reasons as to why SMEs are able to act on social responsibility issues such as the LW, and provide some background to the retail sector as the chosen context for this paper. Further, a description of the research methodology is given. Then, a summary of the survey findings and three case studies (an organic grocery retailer, a specialist computer retailer and a multi-channel clothes retailer) will be presented and discussed. The concluding section reflects on the limitations of the study and makes some suggestions for further research.

#### Literature review

The Living Wage

The Living Wage (LW) relates to people's subsistence and needs and can be defined as the amount of remuneration that is sufficient to provide a decent standard of living for the worker (and his/her family) (Ryan, 1912). At its core, the LW is an ethical concept, as it challenges neo-classical assumptions of wages being "a market estimation of what a worker adds to the production of goods and services that society wants" (Stabile, 2008, p. 2). Thus, concept of the LW has direct implications for business organisations as it concerns what they pay their workers at the bottom of the pay scale (Werner and Lim, 2016a). At the same time, questions arise as to how much should be paid and who, in fact, is responsible for ensuring that workers can make ends meet.

Three key arguments have been put forward by key thinkers to support the idea of a LW: sustainability, capability and externality (Stabile, 2008). All three rationales given for paying the LW carry significant advantages for employees and employee relations (Werner and Lim, 2016a). A sustainable wage facilitates self-reliance, organisational resilience and focussed energy and efficiency from employees. Instead of scattering their attention across two or three jobs, workers who earn a LW are able to devote their time and energy to one employer, thus being more productive in the process.

The LW also enables capability. Capability can be understood to mean empowerment of the individual, a way by which she or he exercises the freedom to achieve the "doings and beings" they have reason to value (Sen, 1992). While a higher income will enhance individual well-being, an argument can also be made that enhanced capability also helps people to become better citizens and workers. For example, if a worker is no longer forced to hold down several jobs and work long hours to make ends meet they will have more time available to spend with their family, to get involved in their communities, or to attend evening classes.

Last but not least, externality is deeply relevant to arguments in favour of the LW. Workers who are paid very low wages inevitably impose costs on society, as people, for example, will have to claim means-tested welfare payments (such as tax credits and housing benefit) to make ends meet. Continuing to subsidise "low wage" employers, therefore, may have a negative effect on the social contract between business and society. From an economic perspective as well, wages that are below subsistence levels allow incompetent employers to continue to exist and thus distort true economic competitiveness.

Although the benefits of paying a LW to employees appear to outweigh the disadvantages, however, adopting the LW – whether as a mandatory requirement (as, e.g., in a number of municipalities in the USA) or as a voluntary commitment (as favoured in the UK) – carries risks for business organisations. The most obvious downside is the higher wage bill that paying the LW often entails. Some scholars (e.g. Waltman, 2004; Pollin, 2005) counter this argument by referring to efficiency and productivity gains that businesses may enjoy, for example, through reduced absenteeism and turnover and a subsequent reduction in recruitment, training and supervision costs, as well as a better motivated, and thus more productive, workforce. Paying higher wages may also "force" employers to think about how

of the Living

their resources, both labour and non-labour, could be more efficiently employed Implementation (e.g. through investment in better equipment).

In contexts where a voluntary adoption of the LW is encouraged, LW employers may also enjoy reputational benefits (and those employers who do not pay a LW may face negative reputational consequences), although they may also find themselves at a competitive disadvantage if they adopt the LW while their rivals do not. In such contexts, the responsibility of customers and other stakeholders to recognise, and reward, LW employers, and shun those who do not pay a LW, will become more pertinent (see Ryan, 1912, p. 264).

#### The UK and SME context

The LW has a long history (Werner and Lim, 2016a). In the UK, the concept was revived by campaigns spearheaded by civil society alliance Citizens UK/London Citizens in the early 2000s, which started as a response to high incidence of "low-wage" jobs in East London immigrant communities. Wills and colleagues documented and analysed these campaigns (Wills, 2004, 2008; Wills, Datta, Evans, Herbert, May and McIlwaine, 2009), and examined the experiences of low-waged workers who received the LW (Linneker and Wills, 2016; Wills and Linneker, 2012) as compared to those who did not (Flint et al., 2014). Much of this work, however, focussed on contract service providers. The study presented in this paper complements this strand of research by examining the role of SMEs in promoting the LW.

The fact that private sector SMEs today constitute over half of all accredited LW employers bears testimony to the fact that there is both the will and the capacity among SMEs to implement the LW, not just among large employers such as universities (Wills, Kakpo and Begum, 2009), local councils (Prowse and Fells, 2016) and large corporations (Groom, 2014), Egels-Zandén (2017) summarises why SMEs are able to act on social responsibility issues, such as the LW. He acknowledges the fact that SMEs have limited resources available to them (see also Carland et al., 1984), but points out that other peculiarities of SMEs are, in fact, enablers for them to act ethically and responsibly within the societies they serve. Central to this ability to act are the co-incidence between ownership and control in SMEs and the vital role of SME owner-managers in the business (Spence, 1999). Egels-Zandén (2017) states that numerous scholars have demonstrated that SME owner-managers engage in social responsibility due to ethical, rather than profit, motives. Ownership and control often lie with the same people in SMEs, and they have thus more autonomy and legitimacy to exercise discretion in how to use company resources and are less pressured by quarterly results. Egels-Zandén (2017) demonstrates the capability of SMEs to act responsibly by giving the example of a Swedish SME retailer that implemented the LW in its supply chain, which was perceived as a rather unusual move. The present paper, by contrast, focusses on SMEs and their direct employees.

#### The retail context

The retail industry deserves considerable attention in the context of LW debates because of its reputation as a low-wage employer (Tait, 2017). In fact, retail, wholesale and repair of motor vehicles is the second largest industry sector in the UK (after the professional, scientific and technical sector), accounting for 14.5 per cent of all businesses and employing over four million people (Office for National Statistics, 2016).

At the same time, some larger retailers such as IKEA have been reported to have become accredited LW employers whilst supermarket chains Aldi and Lidl have adopted the full LW but without formal accreditation (Butler, 2016; Sky News, 2017). The adoption of the LW in SME retailers, however, has remained largely unexplored, despite the fact that there are over 540,000 SMEs operating in the sector, accounting for 15 per cent of all SME employment and over a third of all SME turnover in the UK (DBEIS, 2016).

The retail sector in the UK has been perceived to be standing at a crossroads with regards to its future (Tait, 2017). A number of industry reports on future trends in the industry that have recently been published (BRC, 2016; DWF, 2016; Tait, 2017) have pointed to the narrowing of margins due to cost pressures, and the growing influence of technology in the sector, which has many implications for jobs and wages. The reports have also assessed the impact of the introduction of the mandatory NLW, with law firm DWF finding that only 27 per cent of retailers see the NLW as a key threat to growth over the next three years, as areas such as regulatory change, and IT and data security are being viewed as bigger issues (DWF, 2016). The British Retail Consortium, whilst being supportive of the idea of the mandatory NLW "in principle", is concerned that the potentially negative effects on employment have been underestimated and that they could lead to an even steeper decline in employment, but potentially also to the creation of better if fewer jobs (BRC, 2016). Scepticism around the NLW is also voiced by the Centre for Retail Research (Bamfield, n.d.), which argues that the NLW could lead to staff reduction, fall in store numbers, higher prices and the implementation of more self-scanning and self-service technology.

The report published by the Fabian Society (Tait, 2017) sets out three future scenarios for the retail industry: squeezing the cost base (finding new ways to reduce costs to keep existing business models alive, including minimising labour costs and thus perpetuating a low wage model), automating to efficiency (investing in new automated technologies and moving large parts of business online, thus reducing staff and store numbers but also requiring higher skills from staff) and competing on connectivity (investing in highly engaged workforces and in forging stronger relationships with customers to compete on the strength of their "human touch"). The report favours the last model, and policy recommendations set out in the report include a push for training and skills development (also supported by the BRC), as well as government support not just for further rises in the mandatory NLW but also more support for the voluntary LW. These recommendations concur with the conclusion of the DWF report that the ability to retain and motivate the best staff is key to industry growth, that wages are clearly crucial to help achieve this, and that it is, therefore, fundamental that retailers buy into improved remuneration (DWF, 2016).

The findings from these reports echo earlier academic studies such as Hart *et al.*'s (2007) research into employer perceptions of skills gaps in UK retail (multiple retailers and SMEs). Hart *et al.* (2007) stated that the sector had to grapple with a "low skills, low pay" image, and people did not consider retail a long-term career choice due to perceived poor working conditions and pay. The industry's negative image was also felt to have a significant impact on retailers' ability to recruit and compete for long-term staff with the necessary skills to succeed in the sector. Hart *et al.*'s (2007) research also highlighted that some SME employers, in particular, showed a lack of awareness of the need for their workforce to have specific skills (such as IT skills) and of the need for future skills development to maintain competitiveness against larger retailers (see also Byrom *et al.* 2002). Hart *et al.* (2007) further point out that reducing staff turnover needed to become a higher priority in order to retain skills levels and retail as a whole needed its profile raised to make retailing a first choice career destination. The question arises as to how the voluntary LW may contribute to addressing these issues.

Whilst the literature on SME retailers remains limited, some studies have focussed on the marketing and branding aspect of these companies (Mitchell *et al.*, 2015; Hutchinson and Quinn, 2012; Coca-Stefaniak *et al.*, 2010). An interesting insight pertains to the central role of the owner-manager in managing the branding in SME retail companies (Mitchell *et al.*, 2015). This stream of literature, highlighting as it does features of successful branding – such as a strong company brand image/identity, niche strategies (Hutchinson and Quinn, 2012), high-level customer service and personal interaction with customers (Coca-Stefaniak *et al.*, 2010) – opens up the opportunity to explore how LW accreditation may be used in SME retail branding (Werner and Lim, 2016a).

**Implementation** 

of the Living

#### Method

The study employed mixed-methods research (Creswell, 2009). A survey as well as semi-structured, in-depth interviews were used. The purpose of the survey was to gain initial insights into SME's experience of adopting the LW. Survey questions asked about reasons for signing up to the LW; implementation, challenges and benefits of the LW; as well as about the company's current and future LW strategy. The survey was also used as a recruitment tool for follow up in-depth interviews. The interviews, which were semi-structured, probed for further detail on the topics covered in the survey but also allowed for new topics and issues to emerge.

For the purposes of the survey, a sample consisting of 250 private sector SMEs was selected from the membership database managed and owned by the Living Wage Foundation. Following a pre-test, the survey was sent out using the Qualtrics platform. In total, 60 responses were received (12 of which from retailers), which were analysed using Qualtrics and Excel. Totally, 38 respondents indicated that they would be happy to grant the researchers an interview about their experience as a LW employer. From these responses, 23 companies were selected to be interviewed.

Interviews took place between May and August 2016. Interviews lasted between 25 minutes to an hour and a half, with an average interview time of about 40 minutes. The respondents were in one or more of the following categories: founder/owner/managing director/functional director (HR. marketing, etc.). The interview sample included six retail companies. All interviews were fully transcribed and anonymised in accordance with Middlesex University's Code of Research Ethics. Interview transcriptions were then coded in NVivo10 using a thematic analysis approach (Braun and Clarke, 2012).

The data presented in this paper comprise of a summary of the survey results for LW SME retailers, as well as three retail case studies from the study's interview sample. The case studies were not selected to generalise a population but on the grounds that they reveal, and enable discussion of, emergent issues with regards to LW adoption in SME retailers (Yin, 2014).

#### **Findings**

Survey findings

As out of the 60 survey responses only 12 came from retailers/wholesalers, any analysis of the data needs to proceed with extreme caution. Nevertheless, the data allowed for some interesting insights.

Whilst in the overall sample moral reasons were overwhelmingly cited as the main motivations for signing up to the LW (Werner and Lim, 2016b), the percentage among retailers/wholesalers were even higher ("the right thing to do" and "in line with company" values were both cited by 92 per cent of retailers/wholesalers in the sample, compared to 77 and 87 per cent by the total sample).

A much higher percentage of retailers/wholesalers than the total sample stated that they had to increase staff wages in order to receive accreditation (92 per cent compared to 50 per cent), which indicates that LW accreditation has more implications for a retailer's wage bill compared to other SMEs. Approximately, 75 per cent of retailers/wholesalers, however, said they had made no changes to the way they run their business (compared to 55 per cent of the total sample). Exactly 41 per cent relied on higher staff productivity to absorb the cost of the LW, whilst 34 per cent regarded a higher quality of service as an option to absorb the cost, and only 25 per cent engaged with the options of charging higher prices or investing in labour saving technology. The sample identified 50 per cent of retailers/wholesalers were willing to accept lower profit margin, compared to 36 per cent of the total sample.

The main challenges with regard to LW adoption mentioned by retailers/wholesalers were "keeping up with the cost of the LW" (58 per cent), the ability to compete with low-cost employers (50 per cent) and the maintenance of pay differentials (41 per cent).

The most frequently cited benefits of LW accreditation among retailers/wholesalers were enhanced brand reputation (75 per cent), good/better employee relations (58 per cent) and more motivated, productive employees (50 per cent).

We will now turn to three case studies that examine issues around adoption and implementation of the LW in more detail.

#### Three case studies

Case 1: OrganiCo – the ethical retailer. OrganiCo's core business is an organic vegetable box scheme, which supplies customers within a 20 mile radius of the company headquarters. The company also has a wholesale arm: it supplies organic fruit and vegetables to shops in the local area. The company was founded 18 years ago by its current owner-manager; it employs ten people, about half of which are part-time.

The LW was first introduced to the owner-manager by the local council, which had been very active in promoting the LW. The owner-manager says that it "resonated with me because [...] it was in line with the ethical principles that underpin the company", referring to OrganiCo's aim to be a "people organisation" and "nurture an environment of wellbeing for employees". The thought that he would be "trail blazing" as one of the first small businesses to sign up to the LW also appealed to him, and, so he argues, he "also wanted to be recognised as being someone who was in a low wage industry but going for [the LW]". He also sees a clear link between the company's LW accreditation and its core business: "You want people, society, to be able to earn enough money to be able to afford good quality food and to be educated about nutrition".

As a result of LW adoption, OrganiCo had to raise the wages for about half of its employees to meet the threshold. However, no organisational changes were made to absorb the cost of the LW. The owner-manager does not believe, either, that LW rate rises should be simply passed on to the consumer as "providing good value for money for our customers is almost as important as providing a good level of remuneration for staff", and that he rather accepts a narrowing of the gross profit. The owner-manager says that all they do to absorb the costs of the LW is to try "to trade well"; he also says OrganiCo has no specific LW strategy in place.

At the same time, the owner-manager is very much aware of the benefits paying the LW brings. He says that "it makes your staff feel good, feel valued by the company" and that staff turnover is very low. He feels that LW accreditation has liberated him as he no longer has to worry about having to calculate a fair wage himself but that he can now rely on the LW rate as a benchmark.

OrganiCo actively uses the LW logo on social media, and in the company's newsletters that are sent out to customers. The owner-manager believes that the company's LW accreditation improves OrganiCo's reputation amongst its customers with customers having responded with positive comments. The owner-manager has also become a champion for the LW in his local area and speaks at local business events promoting the LW.

Case 2: CompuCo – the strategic retailer. CompuCo started out as a PC repair store 20 years ago. In recent years, under the direction of the son of the company's founder, CompuCo has evolved into a specialist retailer, building and selling custom made desktops, laptops and work stations, which are primarily sold online (whilst still retaining a physical store presence). The company sells its wares all across the UK and exports them to 13 countries. It has recently experienced annual growth rates of 25-30 per cent. CompuCo seeks to distinguish itself from larger competitors through offering a high-level personalised customer service. The company employs eight full time and two part-time staff.

The trigger for adopting the LW was the 21st birthday of an employee, which would have required the owner-manager to raise the employee's pay to the over 21 minimum wage rate.

of the Living

This made him stop and ask why that employee was today "worth more" to the company than Implementation the day before, and should not all employees be on that same higher wage rate? The company's accountant then brought up the LW and was subsequently asked to find out more about it.

Having become a convert to the LW, the concept has for the owner-manager a strong moral dimension: "If we can't give our team enough money to live on then there is something wrong in our business model". At the same time, he is very much aware that paying the LW aligns well with his business strategy. He argues that in order to build high performance PCs, the employees should be given financial security so that they "provide the best level of customer service". CompuCo provides a lot of training in the area of customer service. The owner-manager says that he tends to hire people that have good people skills as a prerequisite and who then can be trained up to understand the products and technical side of the business. He also takes an active interest in the developing his employees' skills in line with their interests and talents, so that they can be deployed in the parts of the business in which they can give the most value to the company. The link between wages and level of customer service provided is also communicated to customers so that they realise that "they're not just paying for the [service], they are paying for everything that supports [it]".

Implementation of the LW has not been without challenges for CompuCo. As it initially increased the wage bill by £10,000 the decision was made (in consultation with the employees) to flatten the pay structure in the business, as well as to accept a lower gross profit and to postpone plans to move into bigger company premises. However, the ownermanager sees that the initial investment is being absorbed by the company's business growth. He also aware of the benefits LW adoption brings: "Morale is higher, pride in what we're doing", productivity (measured in amount of order shipped on time and successfully) has increased, and the company no longer has a retention issue. The owner-manager takes satisfaction in the fact that his employees can enjoy their lives more as they can afford to things that are "inspiring, exciting and energising".

CompuCo is very outspoken about its LW accreditation, using the logo on its website, social media and its shop window, and the owner-manager has – similar to OrganiCo's owner-manager – been invited to speak about the company's accreditation on local and regional public platforms on several occasions.

Case 3: ApparelCo – a failed experiment? ApparelCo is a multi-channel clothing retailer. Approximately, 70 per cent of its business is conducted online, and the remaining 30 per cent in the company's five retail outlets. The online business reaches customers worldwide with the UK, Europe, North America and Asia its main markets. The company was founded 20 years ago by the owner-director and employs 52 people, of which 40 per cent are part-time.

At the time this study was conducted ApparelCo was contemplating leaving the scheme. The company's adoption of the LW a few years back had been driven by the owner-director's moral motivations: "I think it was sort of the morally right thing to do to ensure that our lower paid members of staff are earning enough to get by on". He had acted on some employee feedback that had indicated that people felt they were not paid enough. At that time, ApparelCo only had to adjust pay for half a dozen members of staff, and it was felt it was a feasible undertaking.

However, since then the company had hired about 20 new employees, and the LW rate had increased, and thus the owner felt it was no longer financially sustainable to be a LW employer.

The main problem was that the company had a pay structure in place that also took into account "annual objectives and appraisals" for pay rises, and it was felt among the staff that the LW was, in effect, undermining this structure. People who had been with the company for a longer period of time felt aggrieved about the fact that the LW was eroding pay differentials. Despite the fact that their objective wage rate was above market average, staff continued to complain that they were not paid much above the entry pay level – in other words, they felt that they were not "valued enough".

As a result of these sentiments, the owner-director was forced into increasing everybody's pay by the same percentage rate as the LW increase. He said, however, that he felt that doing this was not sustainable for the company. He said he did not want to take away performance incentives or the profit-dependent bonus that was shared out among the staff each year because doing so would be unfair to staff. He also acknowledged that the LW, in fact, acted as a disincentive for some employees: "The warehouse team, they wanted to get rid of objectives because they said 'this is completely pointless, because we can get the best score in our objectives, but we know that we are already guaranteed an increase of at least that amount because we're going to be paid the LW, so why should we bother?"".

The owner-director felt that there was only limited room for the company to absorb the cost of the LW elsewhere in the business, as it had no option of increasing prices (as the company operates within a recommended retail price environment and has to deal with decreasing margins) and only limited opportunities for savings in operational areas. He further stated that he did not feel any tangible benefits of LW accreditation: staff turnover was still high, and there had been no discernible appreciation of the company's LW commitment – neither from customers nor from staff, despite efforts to promote ApparelCo's accreditation. The owner-manager insisted that he was "not a minimum wage employer, that's not where I want to be", but the commitment to the voluntary LW may have been one step too far in his efforts to reward staff fairly.

#### Discussion

The findings of this study highlight that in the overwhelming majority of cases employers are motivated by strong moral values and personal beliefs in "paying a fair wage for a fair day's work", which came across strongly in both the case studies and the survey findings.

A surprising finding of this study is that the majority of the retail respondents surveyed stated that they made no changes to the way they ran their business following LW accreditation, although the vast majority had to increase wages to receive accreditation. OrganiCo's approach to implementing the LW is a case in point here. The case also illustrates the apparent willingness of a number of LW accredited retailers to accept the possibility of lower profit margins as a compensation strategy, which is in line with research that found that due to their ownership structure SMEs are able to engage in profit satisficing rather than profit maximising (Spence and Rutherfoord, 2001).

The survey highlighted "keeping up with the LW rate" as the main challenge that SME retailers face. The example of ApparelCo in particular illustrates how lack of planning for the LW and LW rises may lead SMEs to run into difficulties. ApparelCo also illustrates the difficulty of introducing the LW sustainably in an organisational environment where the existing pay structure takes into account employee performance and incentives, and the LW may erode resulting pay differentials (another challenge also highlighted by the survey). It appears that in such cases, the LW clashes with other considerations of wage fairness.

This study also provides insight into the perceived benefits of LW accreditation. Its survey showed that "reputational benefits" ranked most highly among SME retailers, followed by better employee relations and more motivated and productive employees. In the interviews, the latter was particularly emphasised by CompuCo, whilst OrganiCo's owner-manager talked especially about improved employee relations. Both, OrganiCo and CompuCo's, owner-managers also stated low staff turnover as a main benefit; whilst interestingly, OrganiCo also appreciated the ability to delegate the calculation of a fair wage rate to the Living Wage Foundation. Their accounts show that different companies may appreciate different benefits related to LW accreditation. The personal moral benefit of LW

of the Living

accreditation for SME owner-managers, such as pride in being a trailblazer, and satisfaction Implementation at seeing how employees' personal capability is enhanced as they enjoy more freedom as a result of being paid the LW (Sen, 1992), should also not be underestimated. The absence of any perceived benefits in ApparelCo is thus telling; it is likely that the grievances regarding the erosion of fairness in the company overshadowed any positive effect of the LW.

Another dimension related to benefits that is important, and comes through in the case studies, is the importance of engaging with the company's customers (and also wider audiences) about the business' LW accreditation. Positive reinforcement from customers (and others) appears to strengthen commitment to the LW, and is also linked to perceived reputational benefit.

Whilst the moral dimension appears strong across the research sample, there were differences as to how the LW fitted in the overall business rationale. Unsurprisingly, perhaps, the LW formed an important aspect of the business model and ethos for companies that regarded themselves as being "ethical" or "green", as could be seen in OrganiCo's example. In such cases, the LW fits well with the niche branding strategy (Hutchinson and Quinn, 2012) chosen by the retailer, and it is perhaps those retailers that already have an "ethical" business model that are especially open to the idea of adopting the LW.

CompuCo, on the other hand, provided an example of how the LW tied in with the employee skills strategy the owner-manager had put in place in order to provide a high level of personalised customer service. Whilst CompuCo was the only company in the interview sample that took such a strategic view, it is perhaps the best illustration of a company that fits in with what Tait (2017) calls a "competing on connectivity" scenario. This scenario, with its focus on investment in highly engaged workforces and the forging of stronger relationships with customers as basis for competitiveness, has been hailed as the most promising scenario for future developments in retail. Linking the LW with the development of staff skills and engagement to enable a high-level customer service is likely to make commitment to the LW both desirable and more sustainable.

#### Conclusion

This was the first study of its kind that investigated in-depth the implementation of the LW in SMEs, responding to the call for more empirical research into LW employers (Werner and Lim, 2016a). New insights into the motivations, challenges and benefits of paying the LW emerged and should serve as timely and significant bases for companies to examine especially those thinking of paying the LW to their staff. As such, the study's findings provide valuable insights for those wishing to encourage LW adoption among SMEs. Furthermore, this study makes an original contribution to the literature on SME retailers as well as to the literature on ethics in SMEs.

This study, naturally, has its limitations. As one of the very few studies focussed on SMEs' adoption and experiences of the LW, the research was (necessarily) exploratory in nature, focussing on a few companies. Although our wider survey of SMEs (Werner and Lim, 2016b) provides rigorous triangulation, findings cannot claim to be broadly generalisable, not especially since the SME sector in the UK is so vast and diverse. Nevertheless, some findings stand out in our study.

First, an overwhelming number of participants clearly felt that adoption of the voluntary LW was primarily driven by moral motives. This sentiment was echoed over and over again by the owner-managers interviewed in this study. This rationale for adopting the LW show a marked contrast to the studies that report on retailers' attitudes towards paying the mandatory NLW: the voluntary LW in accredited SMEs is perceived as part of the company's ethos, and not as a threat that needs to be resisted or managed.

The study also shows that there are manifold benefits to adopting the LW in SMEs, with enhanced brand reputation being cited as the most frequent benefit, which gives an indication that in the long-term LW adoption might not be perceived as a cost for SMEs. Further, the research findings show that a more planned and strategic approach to implementation is likely to make commitment to paying the LW more sustainable for SMEs.

In addition to the fact that this study was exploratory and focussed only on a few companies, our sample was also limited to owner-directors or similar top managers in SMEs. There was no verification of their views from the companies' employees. Whilst employee responses to the LW have been studied elsewhere (e.g. Linneker and Wills, 2016; Flint *et al.*, 2014) it would be desirable in the future to ascertain employees' views in case studies such as ours, including the views of middle managers (where applicable).

Comparative studies with larger companies are another avenue for future research. More systematic research may also tease out any differences between medium, small and micro enterprises. Future research should examine in greater detail, and more systematically, the business case for paying the LW, beyond the, often intuitive, perceptions of the owner-manager (see Mitchell *et al.*, 2015). Other research avenues may also include more systematic studies investigating the link between the LW and chosen business strategy, and investigating the strategies employers use to ensure they would be able to continue to pay the LW for the foreseeable future.

At the time of writing, only a small percentage of British SMEs have implemented the LW in a meaningful way. There is enormous scope for many more companies to follow the lead of this small group of pioneers and so make a vital contribution to reducing the externalities associated with low pay. Understanding the motivations, strategies, benefits and challenges surrounding the LW, such as set out in this paper, should enable policymakers, campaigners and intermediaries to better promote among SMEs the implementation of a wage rate that will contribute to the dignity and flourishing of their employees.

#### References

- Bamfield, J. (n.d.), "What does the government's living wage mean for UK retail?", Centre for Retail Research, Norwich, available at: www.retailresearch.org/livingwage.php (accessed 19 May 2017).
- Bourne, R. (2015), "The living wage: beware the trade-off deniers", Institute of Economic Affairs, London, available at: https://iea.org.uk/blog/the-living-wage-beware-the-trade-deniers (accessed 28 February 2017).
- Braun, V. and Clarke, V. (2012), "Thematic analysis", in Cooper, H. (Ed.), APA Handbook of Research Methods in Psychology: Vol. 2. Research Designs, American Psychological Association, Washington, DC, pp. 57-91.
- BRC (2016), "Retail 2020: fewer but better jobs", British Retail Consortium, London.
- Broughton, N. and Richards, B. (2016), Tough Gig: Low Paid Self-employment in London and the UK, Social Market Foundation, London.
- Butler, S. (2016), "Lidl to give lowest-paid staff pay rise to £8.45 an hour", *The Guardian*, 24 November, available at: www.theguardian.com/business/2016/nov/24/lidl-to-give-lowest-paid-staff-pay-rise-to-845-an-hour (accessed 23 February 2017).
- Byrom, J., Parker, C. and Harris, J. (2002), "Towards a healthy high street: identifying skills needs in small independent retailers", *Education+Training*, Vol. 44 Nos 8/9, pp. 413-420.
- Carland, J., Hoy, F., Boulton, W. and Carland, J. (1984), "Differentiating entrepreneurs from small business owners: a conceptualization", Academy of Management Review, Vol. 9 No. 2, pp. 354-359.
- Clarke, S. and D'Arcy, C. (2016), Low Pay Britain 2016, Resolution Foundation, London.
- Coca-Stefaniak, J.A., Parker, C. and Rees, P. (2010), "Localisation as a marketing strategy for small retailers", International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management, Vol. 38 No. 9, pp. 677-697.

of the Living

- Creswell, J.W. (2009), Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches, Sage, Implementation Los Angeles.
- Department for Business, Enterprise, Innovation and Skills (DBEIS) (2016), "Statistical release: business population estimates for the UK and regions 2016", available at: www.gov.uk/ government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/559219/bpe\_2016\_statistical\_ release.pdf (accessed 23 February 2017).
- DWF (2016), The Future of Retail, DWF, London.
- Egels-Zandén, N. (2017), "The role of SMEs in global production networks: a Swedish SME's payment of living wages at its Indian supplier", Business & Society, Vol. 56 No. 1, pp. 92-129.
- Eurostat (2016), "Underemployment and potential additional labour force statistics", May, available at: http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Underemployment and potential additional labour force statistics (accessed 28 February 2017).
- Flint, E., Cummins, S. and Wills, J. (2014), "Investigating the effect of the London living wage on the psychological wellbeing of low-wage service sector employees: a feasibility study", Journal of Public Health, Vol. 36 No. 2, pp. 187-193.
- Groom, B. (2014), "Nestlé accredited as first 'living wage' manufacturer", Financial Times, 29 June, available at: www.ft.com/content/aedf8346-fd56-11e3-96a9-00144feab7de (accessed 23 February 2017).
- Hart, C., Stachow, G.B., Farrell, A.M. and Reed, G. (2007), "Employer perceptions of skills gaps in retail: issues and implications for UK retailers", International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management, Vol. 35 No. 4, pp. 271-288.
- Hutchinson, K. and Quinn, B. (2012), "Identifying the characteristics of small specialist international retailers", European Business Review, Vol. 24 No. 2, pp. 106-119.
- Inman, P. (2016), "National Living Wage 'failing to provide basic needs for lowest paid'", The Guardian, 21 September, available at: www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2016/sep/21/nationalliving-wage-low-paid-wage-commission-rent-wages (accessed 28 February 2017).
- Linneker, B. and Wills, J. (2016), "The London living wage and in-work poverty reduction: impacts on employers and workers", Environment and Planning C. Politics and Space, Vol. 34 No. 5, pp. 759-776.
- Mitchell, R., Hutchinson, K., Quinn, B. and Gilmore, A. (2015), "A framework for SME retail branding", Journal of Marketing Management, Vol. 31 Nos 17-18, pp. 1818-1850.
- Office for National Statistics (2016), "UK business; activity, size and location: 2016", available at: www. ons.gov.uk/businessindustryandtrade/business/activitysizeandlocation/bulletins/ ukbusinessactivitysizeandlocation/2016 (accessed 23 February 2017).
- Pennycook, M., Cory, G. and Alakeson, V. (2013), A Matter of Time: The Rise of Zero-hour Contracts, Resolution Foundation, London.
- Pollin, R. (2005), "Evaluating living wage laws in the United States: good intentions and economic reality in conflict?", Economic Development Quarterly, Vol. 19 No. 1, pp. 3-24.
- Prowse, P. and Fells, R. (2016), "The living wage: policy and practice", *Industrial Relations Journal*, Vol. 47 No. 2, pp. 144-162.
- Ryan, J. (1912), A Living Wage: Its Ethical and Economic Aspects, Macmillan, London.
- Sen, A. (1992), Inequality Reexamined, Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Sky News (2017), "Discounter Aldi ups pay war with Lidl by raising minimum wage", 5 January, available at: http://news.skv.com/story/discounter-aldi-ups-pay-war-with-lidl-by-raisingminimum-wage-10718580 (accessed 23 February 2017).
- Spence, L. (1999), "Does size matter? The state of the art in small business ethics", Business Ethics: A European Review, Vol. 8 No. 3, pp. 163-174.
- Spence, L. and Rutherfoord, R. (2001), "Social responsibility, profit maximisation and the small firm owner-manager", Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development, Vol. 8 No. 2, pp. 126-139.

- Stabile, D. (2008), The Living Wage: Lessons from the History of Economic Thought, Edward Elgar Publishing, Cheltenham.
- Tait, C. (2017), At the Crossroads: The Future of British Retail, Fabian Policy Report, Fabian Society, London.
- Waltman, J. (2004), The Case for the Living Wage, Algora Publishing, New York, NY.
- Werner, A. and Lim, M. (2016a), "The ethics of the living wage: a review and research agenda", *Journal of Business Ethics*, Vol. 137 No. 3, pp. 433-447.
- Werner, A. and Lim, M. (2016b), Putting the Living Wage to Work: Strategies and Practices in Small and Medium Sized Enterprises (SMEs), Barrow Cadbury Trust, London.
- Wills, J. (2004), "Organising the low paid: East London's living wage campaign as a vehicle for change", in Brown, W. et al. (Eds), The Future of Worker Representation, Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 264-282.
- Wills, J. (2008), "Making class politics possible: organizing contract cleaners in London", International Journal of Urban and Regional Research, Vol. 32 No. 2, pp. 305-323.
- Wills, J. (2009), "The living wage", Soundings, Vol. 42, pp. 33-46.
- Wills, J. and Linneker, B. (2012), The Costs and Benefits of the London Living Wage, Queen Mary University, London.
- Wills, J., Kakpo, N. and Begum, R. (2009), The Business Case for the Living Wage: The Story of the Cleaning Service at Queen Mary, Queen Mary University, London.
- Wills, J., Datta, K., Evans, Y., Herbert, J., May, J. and McIlwaine, C. (2009), "Religion at work: the role of faith-based organizations in the London living wage campaign", Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society, Vol. 2 No. 3, pp. 443-461.
- Yin, R. (2014), Case Study Research: Design and Methods, 5th ed., Sage Publications, New York, NY.

#### Corresponding author

Andrea Werner can be contacted at: a.werner@mdx.ac.uk

### This article has been cited by:

1. ProwsePeter, Peter Prowse, FellsRay, Ray Fells, ArrowsmithJames, James Arrowsmith, ParkerJane, Jane Parker, LopesAna, Ana Lopes. 2017. Low pay and the living wage: an international perspective. *Employee Relations* 39:6, 778-784. [Citation] [Full Text] [PDF]