- 1 The role of working memory sub-components in food choice and dieting success.
- 2
- 3 Author names and affiliations:
- 4 Dr Victoria Whitelock (corresponding author)^{a1}
- 5 Professor Arie Nouwen^a
- 6 Professor Olga van den Akker^a
- 7 Professor Suzanne Higgs^b
- 8
- ^aDepartment of Psychology, School of Science and Technology, Middlesex University, The
- 10 Burroughs, Hendon, London, NW4 4BT, UK
- ^bThe School of Psychology, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT,
- 12 UK

¹ Present address: Department of Psychological Sciences, University of Liverpool, Eleanor Rathbone Building, Bedford Street South, Liverpool, L69 7ZA

Abstract

14 Evidence suggests a role for self-reported working memory (WM) in self-reported food intake, but it is not known which WM sub-components are involved. It is also important to 15 consider how individual differences in dietary restraint and disinhibition influence WM and 16 the impact of this on food choice. The current study assessed the relationship between WM 17 sub-components and food choice, using computerised measures of WM sub-components and 18 a direct assessment of food intake. The role of dieting success (measured by restraint and 19 20 disinhibition) as a distal predictor of food choice that influences food choices via WM, and the role of WM more generally in dieting success were investigated. Female undergraduate 21 students (N = 117, mean age: 18.9 years, mean BMI: 21.6 kg/m^2) completed computer tasks 22 assessing three components of WM (updating, phonological loop and visuospatial sketchpad) 23 and a snack food taste-test. Greater visuospatial WM span was associated with a higher 24 25 (lower) percentage of food intake that was low (high) energy dense. It was also found that unsuccessful dieters (high restraint, high disinhibition) had poorer visuospatial WM span and 26 27 consumed a lower (higher) percentage of low (high) energy dense food. Visuospatial WM 28 span significantly mediated the relationship between dieting success and percentage of low energy dense food intake. Further, dietary restraint was associated with poorer updating 29 ability, irrespective of disinhibition. These findings suggest that better visuospatial WM is 30 associated with a greater (reduced) preference for low (high) energy dense foods, and that 31 32 deficits in visuospatial WM may undermine dieting attempts. Future work should assess whether the ability to deal with food cravings mediates the relationship between visuospatial 33 34 WM and dieting success and investigate how WM may influence the mechanisms underlying behavioural control. 35

36

Keywords: working memory, food intake, restraint, disinhibition, successful dieting

37 The factors affecting food intake decisions are far-reaching and include both internal and external influences (Herman & Polivy, 2008). Important internal influences include cognitive 38 functions, such as episodic memory, learning and executive functions (Higgs, Robinson, & 39 40 Lee, 2012). Executive functions are a set of cognitive abilities that allow individuals to regulate their behaviour according to their higher-order goals or plans. They can be recruited 41 when behaviour is effortful and deliberate (Diamond, 2013), but also as part of a learned 42 43 reflex in the form of automatic inhibition (Verbruggen, Best, Bowditch, Stevens, & McLaren, 2014). Executive functions may be a key determinant of the strength of reflective processes 44 45 in the dual-process theories of behaviour control (Hofmann, Schmeichel, & Baddeley, 2012; Strack & Deutsch, 2004). The current consensus is that there are three core executive 46 functions: inhibition, working memory and cognitive flexibility/set-shifting (Diamond, 2013; 47 48 Miyake et al., 2000). The importance of executive functions in controlling eating behaviour has been shown repeatedly, with findings suggesting that better executive functions are 49 associated with more healthful eating habits (Allom & Mullan, 2014; Hall, Fong, Epp, & 50 51 Elias, 2008; Hall, 2012). Studies have shown that training inhibitory control can improve eating behaviour and aid weight loss (Lawrence et al., 2015; Veling, Koningsbruggen, Aarts, 52 & Stroebe, 2014). However, the results of meta-analyses have revealed inconsistent effects, 53 and the mechanisms by which these work are varied (Allom, Mullan, & Hagger, 2015; Jones 54 et al., 2016). 55

The second of these core executive functions, working memory (WM), and its role in eating behaviour has been relatively less well studied. Nonetheless, WM is now considered an important executive function, alongside inhibitory control ability, that may play a role in the reflective processes that influence eating behaviour (Hofmann, Friese, & Wiers, 2008).
Important components of WM relevant to self-regulation of behaviour include not only the amount of information that can be held active at any given time, but also the ability to hold in 62 mind information stored in long-term memory and to maintain focused attention on currently active information while preventing the interference of other potentially distracting 63 information (Hofmann, Gschwendner, Friese, Wiers, & Schmitt, 2008). Applied to eating 64 65 behaviour, WM capacity may be important in retrieving long-term memories and holding these active in WM (e.g. dieting goals); resisting attending to eye-catching stimuli in the 66 environment (e.g. tempting foods); protecting active goals from distracting stimuli by 67 68 maintaining focused attention on the active goals; and down-regulating emotions (e.g. reducing cravings in a given situation) (Hofmann et al., 2012). 69

70 Working memory capacity has been reported to moderate the role of impulsive processes in predicting health behaviours. For example, in people with low WM capacity, impulsive 71 72 processes were better predictors of high energy dense (HED) food consumption than in people with higher WM capacity (Hofmann, Friese, & Roefs, 2009; Hofmann, Gschwendner, 73 et al., 2008). Few studies have examined the direct relationship between WM and food 74 75 intake, and the findings are contradictory. Two studies found that WM negatively correlated with snack food intake (Riggs, Chou, Spruijt-Metz, & Pentz, 2010; Riggs, Spruijt-Metz, 76 77 Sakuma, Chou, & Pentz, 2010), whereas two other studies found no association with fat intake (Allom & Mullan, 2014; Limbers & Young, 2015). The former two studies assessed 78 self-reported executive functioning using the Behavioural Rating Inventory of Executive 79 Functioning (using the subscales "emotional control", "inhibitory control", "working 80 81 memory" - e.g. "I forget what I'm doing in the middle of things" and "organisation of materials") (Guy, Isquith, & Gioia, 2004). The final analysis in both studies combined scores 82 83 on all subscales to form a composite executive function score (Riggs, Chou, et al., 2010; Riggs, Spruijt-Metz, et al., 2010). Therefore, little can be said about the role of WM in food 84 intake, because an overall composite score leaves the contribution of the WM subscale 85 unclear. Limbers and Young (2015) found that the relationship between WM and saturated 86

87 fat intake disappeared when controlling for demographic factors, BMI and eating styles. While this study also used the BRIEF measure of executive functioning, the relationship 88 between food intake and performance on the individual subscales was assessed, increasing 89 90 confidence that these findings relate to WM specifically. Allom and Mullan (2014) used the n-back and operation span tasks to assess WM (updating ability specifically), which are 91 92 validated measures of WM that do not rely on self-reports of behaviour (Diamond, 2013; 93 Miyake et al., 2000). Overall, the strength of evidence suggests that perhaps WM is not 94 important for intake of high energy dense foods.

95 Research on the relationship between WM and fruit and vegetable intake also appears to be contradictory but may be explained by the differing methods used to assess WM across 96 studies. Allom and Mullan (2014) and Sabia et al. (2009) used computerised assessment of 97 WM and found a positive correlation between WM and fruit/vegetable intake. Limbers and 98 Young (2015) did not find a relationship between WM and fruit/vegetable intake, but these 99 100 authors assessed WM via self-report. On the other hand, Riggs, Chou et al., (2010), who also used a self-report measure of WM ability, did report a positive relationship between WM and 101 fruit/vegetable intake. Theoretically, WM may play a more important role in intake of low 102 103 energy dense foods than high energy dense foods. Allom and Mullan (2014) based on their finding that WM was associated with fruit/vegetable intake and not saturated fat intake, 104 argued that inhibitory control is not important for health improving behaviours, but rather 105 updating, or working memory is important as it directly supports activation and maintenance 106 of long-term goals (such as weight loss) that encourages low energy dense (LED) food 107 108 consumption.

Several factors limit the research conducted to date on the relationship between WM andfood intake. While the self-report measures of WM may provide greater ecological validity

111 due to assessment of WM performance in everyday situations, these measures are subject to self-report bias. A further limiting factor is the lack of consideration of the role of WM sub-112 components. The traditional view of WM is that there are three core components of WM: the 113 central executive is an attentional control system that allocates, divides and switches attention 114 across two slave sub-systems. The two slave sub-systems (the phonological loop and the 115 visuospatial sketchpad) deal with different information, namely verbal and acoustic 116 information and visual and spatial information, respectively (Baddeley, 2007). The three core 117 components of WM have different functions that could differentially relate to food intake. 118 119 For example, the slave sub-systems could be important in the processing of visual aspects of food (e.g. what looks appetizing and food cravings) and the auditory aspects (e.g. the sound 120 121 of food cooking or unwrapping food), whereas the central executive could be important for 122 the allocation of attention to these sub-components and retrieving long-term memories about health goals. More recent literature argues for retiring the central executive in favour of 123 multiple specialised skills, such as updating the contents of WM and inhibition of distraction 124 for items held in WM (Logie, 2016). Updating is one central executive function that has been 125 shown to relate to food intake (Hofmann, Gschwendner, et al., 2008; Hofmann et al., 2012). 126 A final limitation of previous studies is that food frequency questionnaires have been used to 127 measure food intake, which is subject to self-report bias and recall error. More reliable 128 measures of food intake are needed, such as a laboratory-based taste-test that measures actual 129 130 food consumption.

It is also important to consider potential moderators of the relationship between WM and
food intake, such as psychological eating styles that are associated with differences in WM.
Dieting in individuals high in cognitive restraint is related to WM deficits such as deficits in
sustained attention (Rogers & Green, 1993), poorer immediate recall and slower reaction
times compared with non-dieters (Green & Rogers, 1995; Green, Rogers, Elliman, &

136 Gatenby, 1994). Specific deficits have also been shown in sub-components of WM (Green & Rogers, 1998; Green, Elliman, & Rogers, 1997), including the central executive (Green et al., 137 2003; Kemps & Tiggemann, 2005; Kemps, Tiggemann, & Marshall, 2005) and phonological 138 139 loop (Green & Elliman, 2013; Shaw & Tiggemann, 2004; Vreugdenburg, Bryan, & Kemps, 2003), but not the visuospatial sketchpad (Green & Rogers, 1998; Kemps, Tiggemann, & 140 Marshall, 2005; Kemps & Tiggemann, 2005; Shaw & Tiggemann, 2004; Vreugdenburg et al., 141 2003). However, evidence for deficits in phonological loop functions is somewhat 142 contradictory (see Green et al., 2003; Kemps & Tiggemann, 2005; Shaw & Tiggemann, 143 144 2004; Vreugdenburg et al., 2003). Overall, these data suggest a negative impact of dieting behaviour on some aspects of WM, which could mediate the relationship between dieting and 145 food intake. 146

A further consideration is that studies investigating the effects of dieting on WM have 147 compared current dieters with non-dieters and have not usually distinguished between 148 149 successful and unsuccessful dieters (Kemps & Tiggemann, 2005; Kemps, Tiggemann, & Marshall, 2005). Individuals who score high on cognitive restraint but low on the tendency 150 towards disinhibition (successful dieters) respond differently to individuals scoring high in 151 152 restraint and high in the tendency towards disinhibition (unsuccessful dieters) in a task assessing WM guidance of attention to food cues (Higgs, Dolmans, Humphreys, & Rutters, 153 2015). Successful and unsuccessful dieters have also been shown to differ in their 154 experiences of food cravings: unsuccessful dieters reported more food cravings relating to 155 difficulties in self-control over food intake and intentions to consume food than did 156 157 successful dieters (Meule, Lutz, Vögele, & Kübler, 2012). Cravings are believed to be visual in nature (May, Andrade, Kavanagh, & Penfound, 2008; May, Andrade, Panabokke, & 158 Kavanagh, 2004; Tiggemann & Kemps, 2005) and to consume visuospatial WM resources, 159 impairing performance on other visuospatial WM tasks (Green, Rogers, & Elliman, 2000; 160

Kemps, Tiggemann, & Grigg, 2008; Meule, Skirde, Freund, Vögele, & Kübler, 2012;
Tiggemann, Kemps, & Parnell, 2010). It is therefore possible that successful dieters have
greater visuospatial WM capacity, allowing them to deal with demands on visuospatial WM
more appropriately, such as food cravings.

165 In summary, there has been little investigation of the role of specific WM processes in eating 166 behaviour to date. The aim of the present study was to investigate the role of WM subcomponents in food choice using computerised measures of WM and a measure of actual 167 food intake (food taste-test paradigm). In addition, the role of dieting success (measured by 168 169 restraint and disinhibition) as a distal predictor of food intake that influences food choices via WM, and the role of WM more generally in dieting success were assessed. The association 170 between WM and food choice, with dieting success as a distal predictor can be 171 conceptualised within a mediation framework, in which the effects of dieting success on food 172 choice are mediated by WM. It was therefore predicted that there would be a significant 173 174 relationship between WM and food choice, such that better WM would be associated with a greater (lower) percentage of total food intake that was LED (HED; hypothesis 1). There is 175 currently little evidence to suggest that any one sub-component or function of WM may play 176 177 a more important role in food choice over other WM components, and therefore predictions regarding specific WM sub-components were not made. Considering the research that has 178 found dieting to be associated with WM deficits, it was expected that dietary restraint, 179 irrespective of disinhibition, would be associated with poorer updating and phonological loop 180 functioning (but not visuospatial span, hypothesis 2). However, it was also predicted that 181 182 dieting success would be associated with WM (such that successful dieters would show better visuospatial WM than unsuccessful dieters, hypothesis 3). It was also expected that dieting 183 success would be associated with food choice, such that successful dieters would consume a 184 185 greater (lower) percentage of their total food intake from LED (HED) food (hypothesis 4).

186 Finally, it was predicted that there would be a significant indirect relationship between

187 dieting success and food choice via WM (hypothesis 5). Again, no predictions about the

specific WM components or functions involved here were made, as current research does not

suggest any one specific WM component is more involved in food intake than others.

190

Methods

191 Participants

Female undergraduate students at the University of Birmingham received course credit for 192 taking part in this study (N=117). Only females were included because eating habits are 193 known to differ between men and women, and dieting to control weight is more common in 194 195 women (Kiefer, Rathmanner, & Kunze, 2005; Wardle et al., 2004). Participants were required to have normal or corrected-to-normal vision, but there were no restrictions based on 196 197 age or BMI. One participant was excluded from the analyses as she was an outlier on a 198 number of measures, and reported that she was sad during the experiment (final N = 116). There were no outliers on any of the WM outcome measures. However, one participant failed 199 twice on the lowest level of the backwards digit task, which was most likely because they did 200 201 not understand the instruction to reverse the sequence, and therefore this participant was excluded on that task. 202

To disguise the aims, the study was advertised as investigating the relationship between cognitive functioning and food taste perceptions. The sample size was decided a priori via a power calculation using G Power (Faul, Erdfelder, Lang, & Buchner, 2007). With power set at 0.8 and alpha 0.05, to identify a medium effect size ($f^2 = 0.15$) in a multiple linear regression, a sample size of 92 participants would be needed. Previous studies assessing the association between WM and food intake identified medium to large effect sizes when WM was included in the models (Allom & Mullan, 2014; Limbers & Young, 2015), and hence it

210 was reasonable to expect a similar effect size. As it would not be possible to predict which group participants would fall into prior to testing them (allocation to groups was based on 211 levels of restraint and disinhibition using questionnaire responses), more than 92 participants 212 213 were recruited to ensure there were sufficient numbers in each group. However, further targeted recruitment was required towards the end of the study to obtain more balanced 214 groups. The final sample size (116) powered the study to identify a medium effect size with 215 up to 9 predictors, although a maximum of 5 were actually used in any given analysis. This 216 study was approved by the Middlesex University Psychology Ethics Sub-committee and the 217 218 University of Birmingham Research Ethics Committee.

219 Measures

Demographic information. Participants were asked to report their age, ethnicity,
when they last ate, whether and how often they drink alcohol and smoke, whether they have
any food allergies, or have past or current psychological issues. These were used to
characterise the sample, and anyone with food allergies were excluded (none were excluded
on this basis).

225 Working memory assessments

Updating. Updating was assessed using the validated Spatial Working Memory test 226 227 of the Cambridge Cognition Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB, Cambridge Cognition, Cambridge, UK). This task required updating within the visuospatial 228 domain. Participants had to search for blue tokens hidden inside coloured boxes. Once a 229 token was found inside a box, a token would not be hidden inside that box again. Therefore, 230 participants had to remember where they had already found tokens and update the 231 information held in WM so as not to return to the same box twice. Updating ability is 232 233 considered an important component of WM for self-regulation of behaviour (Hofmann et al.,

2012). The task started with 4 boxes and 4 tokens to find, and increased to 6, 8 and finally 10
boxes and 10 tokens. The key outcome measure for updating ability was the degree to which
participants used a strategy to perform the task (Goghari et al., 2014; Owen, Downes,
Sahakian, Polkey, & Robbins, 1990). The best strategy for this task was to search the boxes
in the same order every time a new search commenced. The number of times a participant
started the search from a different box was counted, and a higher score therefore indicated
poorer use of this strategy.

241 Phonological loop. The phonological loop component of WM was assessed using the 242 backwards digit span task. Participants were shown a sequence of numbers on screen and had to recall the sequence in reverse order, using the on-screen number pad. The first sequence 243 contained 3 items and increased by one after two consecutive correct answers. The task 244 finished when two consecutive incorrect answers were given. The longest sequence of 245 numbers remembered correctly was taken as a measure of the participant's phonological loop 246 247 capacity. The digit span task is a validated measure of short-term memory, specifically phonological loop capacity (Baddeley, Gathercole, & Papagno, 1998) and has previously 248 been used to identify poorer phonological loop capacity in unsuccessful dieters compared to 249 250 non-dieters (Kemps, Tiggemann, & Marshall, 2005).

Visuospatial sketchpad. The visuospatial sketchpad component of WM was assessed using the Spatial Span Task from the Cambridge Cognition Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (CANTAB, Cambridge Cognition, Cambridge, UK), which is a computerised version of the Corsi blocks task (a validated measure of visuospatial sketchpad capacity; Hanley, Young, & Pearson, 1991). White squares were shown on screen, and several of these briefly changed colour. Participants had to touch the squares in the correct order in which they changed colour (on a touch screen monitor). The first sequence contained

258 2 square colour changes, and increased by one after every correctly recalled sequence. The
259 task finished when three consecutive sequences were recalled incorrectly. Visuospatial WM
260 capacity was taken as the highest level of this task successfully completed.

261 Taste test

Eating behaviour was assessed in the laboratory using a bogus taste-test paradigm (Houben, 262 2011). Participants were presented with a snack buffet box containing 4 high energy dense 263 (HED) foods (chocolate chip cookies ~65g, ~323 kcal; cheese and onion rolls ~65g, ~201 264 kcal; MnM's, ~165g, 799 kcal; and ready salted crisps, ~25g, ~133 kcal) and 4 low energy 265 dense (LED) foods (carrot sticks ~110g, ~44 kcal; plum tomatoes ~139g, ~28 kcal; grapes, 266 ~153g, ~101 kcal; and salt and vinegar rice cakes, ~10.5g, ~40 kcal). All food was 267 268 manufactured by Sainsbury's UK, except for the M&Ms (Mars, France) and rice cakes (Snack a Jacks, UK). These foods were chosen to provide a range of high and low energy 269 dense foods from both sweet and savoury categories to account for different preferences. To 270 bolster the cover story, participants were given 10 minutes to taste each of the foods and rate 271 them on three 100mm visual analogue scales, with the questions above "How pleasant was 272 the taste of the...?"; "how bitter was the taste of the...?" and "how sweet was the taste of 273 the...?", with anchors "not at all" and "extremely". Participants were told they could eat as 274 much or as little of the foods as they wished, as any remaining food would be thrown away 275 afterwards. To create an outcome measure that reflected the healthiness of food choices when 276 participants were offered both low and high energy dense food options (our primary interest 277 in this study), the percentage of total food intake that was LED was calculated. Specifically, 278 279 the amount consumed by each participant was first calculated by subtracting the post tastetest weight from the pre taste-test weight for each of the food items. This was then totalled 280 281 separately for the HED and LED foods. Total LED intake (grams) was divided by the total

amount eaten (HED + LED grams), and multiplied by 100 to give the percentage of total
snack intake that was LED food.

284 Dieting behaviour

The cognitive restraint and disinhibition subscales of the Three Factor Eating Questionnaire 285 (TFEQ) were used to identify successful and unsuccessful dieters (Stunkard & Messick, 286 1985). In the majority of our analyses restraint and disinhibition remain as continuous 287 variables. However, for the categorical analyses to identify baseline group differences those 288 289 who scored ≥ 9 on the restraint subscale and ≥ 7 on the disinhibition subscale were classified as unsuccessful dieters (Higgs et al., 2015). Those scoring ≥ 9 and < 7 on these subscales 290 291 (respectively) were classified as successful dieters. Classification of dieting status took place 292 after participants had taken part in the study, therefore reducing any experimenter-induced expectancy effects as the researcher was blind to dieting status during the testing sessions. 293 Appended to the end of the TFEQ was the question "are you currently dieting to lose 294 weight?" to characterize the sample. 295

296 **Procedure**

Testing sessions took place between 9:30am-12pm and 1:30pm-5pm, and participants were 297 tested individually in a cubicle. Upon arrival, participants provided informed consent, 298 completed the medical history and food allergies screening questionnaire, and rated their 299 300 baseline hunger and fullness on 100mm VAS scales asking "how hungry/full do you feel right now?" with the anchors "not at all hungry/full" and "extremely hungry/full". As a 301 further distraction to the aims of the study, participants completed a number of 100mm VAS 302 scales asking about their mood. These consisted of the question "How do you feel right 303 now?", with various emotions inserted, for example, happy, sad, nervous and irritable. 304 305 Anchors were "not at all" and "extremely". The participant then completed the WM tests and

306 repeated the hunger and mood questions. Then the participant completed the snack food taste-test and another set of hunger and mood questions. The questionnaire pack that 307 contained questions on demographics and the TFEQ was then completed. To probe 308 309 awareness of the study aims, participants were asked the following open ended questions: 1) "what do you think was the purpose of the study?" and 2) "in the snack buffet, what do you 310 think the researchers were interested in?" Height and weight were measured using a 311 stadiometer and body weight scales (heavy clothing and shoes removed) in order to calculate 312 BMI (kg/m²). Participants were then debriefed. The first participant did not eat until 10am, 313 314 and the last participant ate at 4:30pm, as these are considered normal snacking times.

315 Data analysis

316 Group differences on baseline characteristics were checked with a multivariate ANOVA with 317 restraint (high, low) and disinhibition (high, low) as factors and BMI, age and baseline hunger as outcomes. Correlations were also conducted to identify if food intake correlated 318 with baseline characteristics (e.g. age, BMI, hunger, when last ate, and liking of each food). 319 Mediation analysis was conducted using model 4 of the PROCESS macro for SPSS (Hayes, 320 321 2013), entering the interaction between restraint and disinhibition (mean centred) as the independent variable, WM performance (digit span, visuospatial span and updating strategy 322 323 use) as the mediator, and percentage of food consumed that was LED as the dependent variable. Bayesian linear regressions were conducted to identify the strength of evidence 324 supporting the alternative and null hypotheses regarding the relationship between WM 325 326 components and food choice. A Bayes factors close to 1 represents evidence that is insensitive and inconclusive, a Bayes factor much greater than 1 reflects strong evidence of 327 the alternative hypothesis, whereas closer to 0 represents strong evidence of the null 328 hypothesis (Dienes, 2014). More specific cut-offs have been suggested, whereby a value of 3 329

or higher is substantial evidence of the alternative hypothesis and less than 0.3 is substantial
evidence for the null hypothesis (Dienes, 2016; Jeffreys, 1961). Values between 0.3 and 3 are
considered anecdotal evidence.

In order to understand associations between dieting success and WM/food choice, regressions 333 334 were run with restraint, disinhibition and the interaction between the two as independent 335 variables and WM performance (digit span, visuospatial span and updating strategy use) and percentage of food consumed that was LED as the dependent variables. Simple slopes 336 analyses were used to visualise interaction effects. Bias-corrected and accelerated 337 338 bootstrapping (based on 1000 bootstrap samples) was applied to overcome any issues with bias. The regression results for the interaction between restraint and disinhibition replicated 339 the effects found in the mediation analyses, and so to avoid repeating results, only the simple 340 slopes analyses for significant interaction effects are reported. Main effects of restraint and 341 disinhibition are also only reported where significant. Figure 1 shows a model of how we 342 343 expected WM to be associated with food choice and dieting success, and how WM was



c' = Direct relationship between dieting success and food intake, controlling for WM

344 expected to mediate the relationship between dieting success and food choice.

Figure 1. Model of dieting success as a predictor of food choice, mediated by WM.

346

Results

347 Participant characteristics

Mean age of the sample was 18.9 years (SD = 1.0, range = 18-24 years) with a mean BMI of 348 21.6 kg/m^2 (SD = 2.6, range = 16.9-30.6). Seventy-nine participants self-reported as being 349 white, 21 Asian/Asian British, 8 Black/African/Caribbean/Black British; 7 mixed/multiple 350 ethnic group, and 1 as "other". Twenty-three participants reported that they were currently 351 dieting to lose weight. A small number of participants (5.2%) were self-reported light 352 smokers or had past or current psychological health problems (11.2%), and 92.2% said that 353 they drink the government guideline of 14 units of alcohol per week or less (Department of 354 Health UK, 2016). Participants last ate on average 364 minutes prior to participating in the 355 356 study (range = 80-1440 minutes), indicating that in general they had complied with the 357 instruction to not eat for at least 2 hours before taking part. However, one person ate 80 358 minutes and two ate 90 minutes prior to the study. Excluding these from the analyses did not alter the results, and so their data were included in the analyses. Mean hunger and fullness 359 ratings at the beginning of the study were 48.4 (SD = 20.2) and 29.9 (SD = 20.3), 360 respectively. Mean restraint, disinhibition and hunger on the TFEQ were 8.7 (SD = 6.0), 7.0 361 (SD = 3.4) and 6.8 (SD = 3.3), respectively. Participant's characteristics grouped by restraint 362 and disinhibition scores are in Table 1. 363

364 Baseline group differences

BMI differed according to restraint scores (F(1,113) = 5.92, p = 0.02), and there was a marginally significant effect on age (F(1,113) = 3.81, p = 0.05), but no effect of group on

367	baseline hunger (smallest $p = 0.28$). High restraint participants tended to be older and have a
368	higher BMI. There were significant correlations between baseline hunger and food intake and
369	between rated liking and intake of the foods, therefore baseline hunger and average liking of
370	the foods were included as covariates in the analyses (and as nuisance variables in the
371	Bayesian linear regressions).

	LRLD	LRHD	HRLD	HRHD				
N	30	26	24	36				
Age (years)	18.73 (0.94)	18.77 (0.71)	19.33 (1.40)	18.89 (0.82)				
BMI (kg/m^2)	20.71 (3.14)	21.34 (2.05)	22.14 (2.80)	22.32 (2.28)				
Hunger VAS (mm)	44.80 (19.44)	52.73 (21.27)	48.58 (17.43)	48.22 (21.78)				
<i>Note</i> . LRLD = low restraint,	<i>Note</i> . LRLD = low restraint, low disinhibition; LRHD = low restraint high disinhibition;							
HRLD = high restraint low disinhibition; HRHD = high restraint high disinhibition. High								

Table 1. Participant characteristics grouped by restraint and disinhibition scores.

restraint ≥ 9 on restraint subscale; high disinhibition ≥ 7 on the disinhibition subscale.

376 Awareness of study aims

377	None of the	participants	guessed th	e exact pur	pose of the	study, althou	ıgh 18%	guessed	the
-----	-------------	--------------	------------	-------------	-------------	---------------	---------	---------	-----

broad purpose (e.g. "the relationship between cognitive functioning and food intake").

379 Working memory as a mediator of the relationship between dieting success and food

380 choice

Better visuospatial span was associated with a greater (lower) percentage of total food intake
that was LED (HED, hypothesis 1). See Table 2 for the results and Figure 2 for a scatterplot
of this relationship.

Figure 2. Percentage of food intake that was LED plotted against visuospatial WM span

385 (with a regression line).



386

Dieting success (the interaction between restraint and disinhibition) was significantly
associated with visuospatial span (hypothesis 3), and was directly associated with percentage
of total food intake that was LED (hypothesis 4). The direct relationship between dieting
success and LED percentage intake was no longer significant when controlling for
visuospatial span, and the indirect effect via WM was significant (hypothesis 5). See Table 2
for the results of all the mediation analyses and Figure 3 for a model of the results involving
just visuospatial span.

Table 2. The relationships between WM, food choice and dieting success (restraint x

398	disinhibition)) in a	mediation	model.
-----	----------------	--------	-----------	--------

Path	Digit span (b, p)	Visuospatial span (b,	Updating strategy
		<i>p</i>)	use (<i>b</i> , <i>p</i>)
a	-0.01 (0.27)	-0.01 (0.02)	-0.02 (0.55)
b	1.77 (0.18)	3.49 (0.03)	-0.13 (0.63)
c	-0.19 (0.03)	-0.19 (0.03)	-0.19 (0.03)
c'	-0.18 (0.04)	-0.15 (0.08)	-0.19 (0.03)
Standardised indirect	-0.02 (-0.08, 0.01)	-0.04 (-0.12, -0.01)	0.003 (-0.01, 0.05)
effect of dieting			
success on food			
intake (bootstrapped			
95% CIs)			
effect of dieting success on food intake (bootstrapped 95% CIs)	-0.02 (-0.06, 0.01)	-0.04 (-0.12, -0.01)	0.005 (-0.01, 0.05)

399 Note. a = association between dieting success and WM; b = association between WM and
400 percentage of intake that was LED food; c = association between dieting success and
401 percentage of intake that was LED food; c' = direct association between dieting success and
402 percentage of intake that was LED food when controlling for WM.



Direct effect, b = -0.15, p = 0.08

Unstandardised indirect effect, b = -0.04, 95% CI [-0.11, -0.01]

403 Figure 3. Model of dieting success as a predictor of food choice, mediated by



- 405 Bayesian linear regressions showed moderate evidence for the association between
- 406 visuospatial span and greater LED (lower HED) percentage intake when controlling for
- 407 baseline hunger and liking of the LED foods ($BF_{10} = 7.75$). Bayes factors for updating
- 408 strategy use and digit span reflect anecdotal evidence for the null hypotheses ($BF_{10} = 0.34$
- and 1.06, respectively).

410 **Dieting success and working memory**

411 As plotted in Figure 4, simple slopes analysis showed that at high levels of restraint,

412 visuospatial WM span decreased as disinhibition increased, b = -.08, t(112) = -2.47, p = 0.01

413 (relating to hypothesis 3).



Figure 4. Mean visuospatial span as a function of restraint and disinhibition (with

- 416 standard error bars).
- 417 There was also a significant positive relationship between restraint and updating strategy use

418 score, b = 0.23, t(112) = 2.38, p = 0.02 (hypothesis 2). A higher score means poorer use of

- the strategy, suggesting that those high in restraint used the strategy less than those low in
- 420 restraint. There were no main effects of restraint or disinhibition on any other WM outcomes.
- 421 Dieting success and food intake

422	Simple slopes analysis showed t	that those high in restraint, and low in disinhibition
-----	---------------------------------	--

423 (successful dieters) ate a higher (lower) percentage of LED (HED) foods than those high in

424 disinhibition (unsuccessful dieters), b = -1.70, t(105) = -2.49, p = 0.01 (hypothesis 4).

425 **Post-hoc examination of food intake**

- 426 Consumption data split by restraint and disinhibition (dieting status) for LED grams, HED
- 427 grams and total intake (kcal) are provided in Table 3. The pattern of data presented here
- 428 suggests that successful dieters (HRLD) ate less HED food and total kcal, but ate a similar
- amount of LED food, compared to unsuccessful dieters (HRHD).

Table 3. Descriptive statistics for food intake measures grouped by restraint and disinhibitionscores.

	LRLD	LRHD	HRLD	HRHD
	60.0 (1.5.0)		<u>(10.1.(10.0)</u>	
LED percentage	62.3 (15.9)	57.02 (12.2)	60.4 (18.8)	54.7 (15.7)
LED (grams)	101.2 (66.9)	88.9 (52.1)	89.6 (56.8)	87.0 (49.1)
HED (grams)	53.6 (30.7)	60.0 (27.5)	49.0 (25.3)	66.2 (36.2)
Total (kcal)	270.1	294.0	246.7	322.4 (173.1)
	(143.0)	(136.5)	(118.6)	

432 *Note*. LRLD = low restraint, low disinhibition; LRHD = low restraint high disinhibition;

433 HRLD = high restraint low disinhibition; HRHD = high restraint high disinhibition. High

434 restraint ≥ 9 on restraint subscale; high disinhibition ≥ 7 on the disinhibition subscale.

435 Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between WM components and food 436 437 intake, using computerised non self-report measures of WM and a measure of actual food intake (food taste-test paradigm). In addition, the role of dieting success (measured by 438 439 restraint and disinhibition) as a distal predictor of food intake that influences food choices via 440 WM, and the role of WM more generally in dieting success were assessed. Our first prediction, that there would be a significant relationship between WM and food choice, such 441 that better WM would be associated with a greater (lower) percentage of total food intake that 442 443 was from LED (HED) food (hypothesis 1), was partially supported. Greater visuospatial WM span was associated with a higher (lower) percentage of food intake that was LED (HED). 444 Specifically, for every 1 item increase in visuospatial span, the percentage of food consumed 445 that was LED (HED) increased (decreased) by 3.49%. In someone with the highest 446 visuospatial span in the current study (9 items), this represents 10.47% more (less) LED 447 448 (HED) food than those with the poorest visuospatial span (6 items). The Bayes factor for the association between visuospatial span and LED percentage intake (when controlling for 449 baseline hunger and liking of LED food) showed moderate evidence for this effect. However, 450 451 the Bayes factors for no involvement of updating strategy use and digit span were anecdotal, preventing a conclusion that these components of WM are not related to food choice. Future 452 research can address this by applying a stopping rule whereby recruitment continues until 453 there is strong evidence for either the null or alternative hypotheses using Bayesian statistics 454 (Dienes, 2016). These findings are in line with studies showing that WM is related to food 455 456 intake (Allom & Mullan, 2014; Riggs, Chou, et al., 2010; Sabia et al., 2009), and extend this to suggest that visuospatial WM in particular is important. Better visuospatial WM may 457 enable people to deal with demands on visuospatial WM such as cravings (Green et al., 2000; 458

Kemps et al., 2008; Meule, Skirde, et al., 2012; Tiggemann et al., 2010), ultimately changing
food preferences if these can be dealt with appropriately.

461 This study also investigated the role of WM in dieting success. It was predicted that dieting success would be associated with better visuospatial WM and a higher (lower) percentage of 462 food intake that was LED (HED; hypotheses 3 and 4, respectively). It was also expected that 463 464 the relationship between dieting success would be mediated by WM (hypothesis 5). Supporting hypotheses 3 and 4, those high in restraint and low in disinhibition (successful 465 dieters) showed better visuospatial WM span and ate a higher (lower) percentage of LED 466 467 (HED) food than those high in restraint and high in disinhibition (unsuccessful dieters). The former effect represents a decrease of 0.08 items recalled for every 1 point increase in 468 disinhibition (at high levels of restraint). Therefore, visuospatial span in a person scoring 16 469 on tendency towards disinhibition (the maximum score) would be 1.28 items less than 470 someone scoring 0 on the disinhibition subscale. Considering the relatively small range of 471 472 visuospatial working memory found in the present study (6-9 items), we argue that this is not a small effect and could have clinical relevance. Another study published since this study was 473 conducted also found dieting success to be associated with WM (Meule, 2016). Specifically, 474 475 Meule found that dieting success was associated with fewer omission errors on a food versus a neutral block in an n-back task in current dieters (Meule, 2016). The association between 476 dieting success and percentage intake reflects a 1.7% decrease (increase) in percentage of 477 LED (HED) intake for every 1 point increase in disinhibition (in those high in dietary 478 restraint). This means that in someone with a score of 16 on tendency towards disinhibition, 479 480 percentage of LED (HED) food intake would be 27.2% less (more) than some scoring 0 on this subscale. This is a strong effect. 481

482 Visuopatial WM span was found to significantly mediate the relationship between dieting success and percentage of food intake that was LED, supporting hypothesis 5. This suggests 483 that poorer visuospatial WM may undermine dieting success. The pattern of the data for 484 485 consumption of LED and HED food (grams) and total intake (kcal) in successful compared to unsuccessful dieters, suggests that better WM processes may facilitate the ability to resist and 486 inhibit HED seeking behaviour (influencing total kilocalorie intake), but may not facilitate 487 488 LED seeking behaviour. Considering the evidence that food cravings are associated with visuospatial WM deficits (Kemps, Tiggemann, & Hart, 2005; Tiggemann et al., 2010), and 489 490 differences between successful and unsuccessful dieters in their experiences of cravings (Meule, Lutz, et al., 2012), better visuospatial WM functioning in successful dieters may 491 492 better enable them to deal with demands on visuospatial WM, such as preoccupying thoughts 493 about food and advertising. Specifically, elaboration intrusion theory argues that it is elaboration of intrusive thoughts about food in WM that guide overt behaviour (Kavanagh, 494 Andrade, & May, 2005; May, Kavanagh, & Andrade, 2015). Better visuospatial WM in 495 496 successful dieters may, therefore, enable these people to prevent elaboration of food thoughts into cravings (e.g. imagining smelling and consuming food), or to activate and retrieve 497 498 alternative thoughts, such as health or dieting goals. Indeed, studies have found that food cues elicit health goals in successful dieters and not in unsuccessful dieters (Papies, Stroebe, & 499 500 Aarts, 2008a; Papies, Stroebe, & Aarts, 2008b). Alternatively, it is possible that successful 501 dieters experience fewer food cravings, leaving them with greater capacity to deal with other visuospatial WM demands. To better understand the mechanism underlying this finding, 502 future research should compare experiences of cravings between successful and unsuccessful 503 504 dieters, how successful dieters deal with induced food cravings, and examine whether visuospatial WM mediates the relationship between food cravings and food intake/dieting 505

success. Initial research on this has found that slower reaction times on a food-specific n-back
task mediated the effect of current dieting status on food cravings (Meule, 2016).

508 Finally, it was predicted that restraint would be associated with impairments in updating ability and phonological loop WM functioning irrespective of tendency towards disinhibition 509 510 (hypothesis 2). This hypothesis was partially supported, as higher levels of restraint were 511 associated with poorer updating (strategy use), irrespective of levels of disinhibition. This suggests that the negative effect of dieting on central executive functioning that has 512 previously been found, is independent of tendency towards disinhibition (Green et al., 1997; 513 514 Shaw & Tiggemann, 2004). There was no effect of restraint on phonological loop functioning. This is in line with some research (Green et al., 2003; Kemps & Tiggemann, 515 2005), but not others (Green & Elliman, 2013; Shaw & Tiggemann, 2004; Vreugdenburg et 516 al., 2003). It could be that assessing overall phonological loop functioning masked the effects 517 of the two components of the phonological loop, since previous studies have found a 518 519 relationship between dieting and articulatory control processes and not the phonological store (Shaw & Tiggemann, 2004) or vice versa (Vreugdenburg et al., 2003). 520

521 The current study assessed associations between WM and food choice, and so no claims can be made about causality. Indeed, there is evidence to support the suggestion that food intake 522 influences WM as well as vice versa (Crichton, Murphy, Howe, Buckley, & Bryan, 2012). It 523 will be important in future studies to investigate the effectiveness of WM training to improve 524 food intake and measures of dieting success, such as weight loss and maintenance of weight 525 loss. Initial evidence suggests that WM training in overweight/obese adults reduces food 526 527 intake in individuals scoring high on a measure of dietary restraint (Houben, Dassen & Jansen, 2016). The current sample was a group of undergraduate women with a low BMI 528 (although BMI was still within the normal range). It would be interesting to see if the effects 529

reported here would be replicated in higher BMI men and women, who may also have greater
experience of dieting success and failure. The present study utilised computerised measures
of WM as a way of measuring basic WM capacity. However, it is possible that participants'
WM was already taxed in some way, impairing their performance on these tasks. It is
therefore important that future research tries to identify and control for such potential
influences on WM, such as food cravings.

The conclusions that can be drawn from the current findings may be limited to the specific 536 tasks used. For example, the backwards digit span task used in this study required 537 538 memorizing a sequence of verbal information and manipulating this sequence in order to recall it in the reverse order, therefore using both the phonological loop and the central 539 executive sub-components of WM. Similarly, the Spatial Working Memory Task used to 540 assess updating ability required remembering visuospatial information as well as updating of 541 this information, and so engaged both the visuospatial sketchpad and central executive sub-542 543 components of WM. The present findings may therefore be limited to phonological loop functioning that also involves manipulation, and updating ability that also involves the 544 visuospatial sketchpad. The use of tasks that assess WM sub-components both independently 545 546 and in conjunction, such as simple span and complex span tasks (Daneman & Carpenter, 1980) is recommended in future research. 547

Future research should explore the specific role of working memory processes in behavioural control. Verbruggen, McLaren, and Chambers (2014) argue that three processes underlie behavioural control: signal detection, action selection and action execution. Importantly, the retrieval of goals and task rules (that is, how goals can be achieved) from long-term memory, and maintenance of these in WM, are likely to modulate signal detection, action selection and action execution. For example, if health goals are not retrieved from long-term memory and

554 activated, then task rules and actions associated with achieving these goals cannot be selected. Difficulties maintaining task rules in mind may hamper continued action execution 555 (e.g. remembering to not over eat during a meal throughout the meal). The three processes, 556 557 signal detection, action selection and action execution are also continually monitored and updated. WM is likely to play a key role here, where new information must be incorporated 558 into subsequent action selection and execution. For example, when finding out about a price 559 560 reduction on deserts (signal detection), this information needs to be incorporated into goals currently held in WM, which will influence activation of task rules and ultimately action 561 562 selection and execution (choosing to order desert or not). This is where shielding goals from interference may also be important, such as by preventing distracting information (e.g. signal 563 detection in the form of a price reduction on deserts) from being incorporated into goals and 564 565 task rules that could then influence action selection and execution. Future research should therefore investigate the specific processes that explain how working memory functions 566 influence the processes underlying behavioural control. Focusing on mechanisms and 567 568 processes may better inform interventions for behavioural control. For example, people who struggle to activate and retrieve long-term health goals in the presence of food (food being 569 570 the signal that requires detection) may benefit from training in this area, such as via implementation intentions to think of their health/dieting goals when they see palatable food 571 572 (Van Koningsbruggen, Stroebe, Papies, & Aarts, 2011). This is likely to facilitate appropriate 573 action selection and execution. In people who struggle to maintain such health/dieting goals in mind once initially activated, training to improve this (such as via rehearsal) may facilitate 574 continued appropriate action execution. 575

576 In summary, the present results provide new insights into the specific components of WM

577 that play an important role in determining food choices. Specifically, it appears that

visuospatial WM span is associated with the healthiness of food choices, and may play a role

579	in a person's ability to choose LED food and resist HED food when both options are
580	available. The finding that visuospatial WM mediated the relationship between dieting
581	success and percentage of food intake that was LED suggests that poorer visuospatial WM
582	may undermine dieting attempts. Previous findings that dietary restraint is associated with
583	deficits in central executive functioning were also clarified, by supporting that this effect is
584	independent of tendency towards disinhibition.

585

586 Role of funding source

587 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies.

588 Acknowledgments

589 The authors would like to thank Katrijn Houben for letting us to use her online backwards

590 digit span task and Andrew Jones for his support with the Bayesian statistics.

591 Declaration of interest

592 The authors have no conflicts of interest.

References

594	Allom, V., & Mullan, B. (2014). Individual differences in executive function predict distinct
595	eating behaviours. Appetite, 80, 123-30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2014.05.007
596	Baddeley, A. (2007). Working memory, thought and action. Oxford, UK: Oxford University
597	Press.
598	Baddeley, A., Gathercole, S., & Papagno, C. (1998). The Phonological Loop as a Language
599	Learning Device. Psychological Review, 105(1), 158–173. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-
600	295X.105.1.158
601	Crichton, G. E., Murphy, K. J., Howe, P. R. C., Buckley, J. D., & Bryan, J. (2012). Dairy
602	consumption and working memory performance in overweight and obese adults.
603	Appetite, 59(1), 34-40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2012.03.019
604	Daneman, M., & Carpenter, P. A. (1980). Individual differences in working memory and
605	reading. Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior, 19(4), 450–466.
606	https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5371(80)90312-6
607	Department of Health UK. (2016). Alcohol Guidelines Review – Report from the Guidelines
608	development group to the UK Chief Medical Officers. Retrieved from
609	https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/545739/G
610	DG_report-Jan2016.pdf
611	Diamond, A. (2013). Executive Functions. Annual Review of Clinical psychologyPsychol.,
612	64, 135–168. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-113011-143750.Executive
613	Dienes, Z. (2014). Using Bayes to get the most out of non-significant results. Frontiers in
614	Psychology, 5(July), 1-17. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00781

- Dienes, Z. (2016). How Bayes factors change scientific practice. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 72, 78–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmp.2015.10.003
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A.-G., & Buchner, A. (2007). G*Power 3: A flexible statistical

618 power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior*

619 *Research Methods*, *39*(2), 175–191. https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03193146

620 Goghari, V. M., Brett, C., Tabraham, P., Johns, L., Valmaggia, L., Broome, M., ... McGuire,

P. (2014). Spatial working memory ability in individuals at ultra high risk for psychosis. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, *50*, 100–5.

- 623 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2013.12.010
- Green, M., Jones, A. D., Smith, I. D., Cobain, M. R., Williams, J. M. G., Healy, H., ...
- 625 Durlach, P. J. (2003). Impairments in working memory associated with naturalistic
- dieting in women: No relationship between task performance and urinary 5-HIAA

627 levels. *Appetite*, 40(2), 145–153. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-6663(02)00137-X

- Green, M., & Rogers, P. (1995). Impaired cognitive functioning during spontaneous dieting.
 Psychological Medicine, 25(5), 1003–10. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291700037491
- Green, M., Rogers, P. J., Elliman, N. A., & Gatenby, S. J. (1994). Impairment of cognitive

631 performance associated with dieting and high levels of dietary restraint. *Physiology &*632 *Behavior*, 55(3), 447–452.

- Green, M. W., & Elliman, N. a. (2013). Are dieting-related cognitive impairments a function
- 634 of iron status? *British Journal of Nutrition*, *109*(1), 1–9.
- 635 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114512000864
- Green, M. W., Elliman, N. a., & Rogers, P. J. (1997). Impaired cognitive processing in
- 637 dieters: Failure of attention focus or resource capacity limitation? *British Journal of*

- 638 *Health Psychology*, 2(3), 259–267. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8287.1997.tb00540.x
- Green, M. W., & Rogers, P. J. (1998). Impairments in working memory associated with
- 640 spontaneous dieting behaviour. *Psychological Medicine*, 28(5), 1063–1070.
- 641 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291798007016
- 642 Green, M. W., Rogers, P. J., & Elliman, N. A. (2000). Dietary restraint and addictive
- 643 behaviors: The generalizability of Tiffany's cue reactivity model. *International Journal*
- 644 of Eating Disorders, 27(4), 419–427. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-
- 645 108X(200005)27:4<419::AID-EAT6>3.0.CO;2-Z
- 646 Guy, S. C., Isquith, P. K., & Gioia, G. A. (2004). Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive
- 647 *Function- Self-Report Version*. Odessa, FL: Psychology Assessment Resources Inc.
- Hall, P. A. (2012). Executive control resources and frequency of fatty food consumption:
- 649 findings from an age-stratified community sample. *Health Psychology*, *31*(2), 235–241.
- 650 https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025407
- Hall, P. a., Fong, G. T., Epp, L. J., & Elias, L. J. (2008). Executive function moderates the
- 652 intention-behavior link for physical activity and dietary behavior. *Psychology & Health*,
- 653 23(3), 309–326. https://doi.org/10.1080/14768320701212099
- Hanley, J. R., Young, A. W., & Pearson, N. A. (1991). Impairment of the visuo-spatial sketch
- pad. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A*, 43(1), 101–125.
- 656 https://doi.org/10.1080/14640749108401001
- 657 Hayes, A. F. (2013). Introduction to Mediation, Moderation and Conditional Process
- 658 Anaysis: a Regression-Based Approach. In Introduction to Mediation, Moderation and
- 659 *Conditional Process Anakysis: a Regrssion-Based Approach.* New York, NY: The
- 660 Guildford Press.

661	Herman, C. P., & Polivy, J. (2008). External cues in the control of food intake in humans: the
662	sensory-normative distinction. Physiology & Behavior, 94(5), 722-8.

- 663 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2008.04.014
- Higgs, S., Dolmans, D., Humphreys, G. W., & Rutters, F. (2015). Dietary self-control
- 665 influences top down guidance of attention to food cues. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6, 1–
- 666 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00427
- Higgs, S., Robinson, E., & Lee, M. (2012). Learning and Memory Processes and Their Role
- 668 in Eating: Implications for Limiting Food Intake in Overeaters. *Current Obesity Reports*,
- 669 *1*(2), 91–98. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13679-012-0008-9
- 670 Hofmann, W., Friese, M., & Roefs, A. (2009). Three ways to resist temptation: The
- 671 independent contributions of executive attention, inhibitory control, and affect
- 672 regulation to the impulse control of eating behavior. *Journal of Experimental Social*

673 *Psychology*, 45(2), 431–435. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2008.09.013

- Hofmann, W., Friese, M., & Wiers, R. W. (2008). Impulsive versus reflective influences on
- health behavior: a theoretical framework and empirical review. *Health Psychology*
- 676 *Review*, 2(2), 111–137. https://doi.org/10.1080/17437190802617668
- 677 Hofmann, W., Gschwendner, T., Friese, M., Wiers, R. W., & Schmitt, M. (2008). Working
- 678 memory capacity and self-regulatory behavior: toward an individual differences
- 679 perspective on behavior determination by automatic versus controlled processes.
- *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 95(4), 962–77.
- 681 https://doi.org/10.1037/a0012705
- Hofmann, W., Schmeichel, B. J., & Baddeley, A. (2012). Executive functions and self-
- regulation. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *16*(3), 174–80.

684 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2012.01.006

- Houben, K. (2011). Overcoming the urge to splurge: influencing eating behavior by
- 686 manipulating inhibitory control. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental*
- 687 *Psychiatry*, 42(3), 384–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbtep.2011.02.008
- Jeffreys, H. (1961). *The Theory of Probability* (3rd ed.). Oxford, England: Oxford University
 Press.
- 690 Kavanagh, D. J., Andrade, J., & May, J. (2005). Imaginary Relish and Exquisite Torture: The
- Elaborated Intrusion Theory of Desire. *Psychological Review*, *112*(2), 446–467.
- 692 https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.112.2.446
- Kemps, E., & Tiggemann, M. (2005). Working memory performance and preoccupying
- thoughts in female dieters. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 44(3), 357–366.
- 695 https://doi.org/10.1348/014466505X35272
- 696 Kemps, E., Tiggemann, M., & Grigg, M. (2008). Food cravings consume limited cognitive

697 resources. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*. *Applied*, *14*(3), 247–54.

- 698 https://doi.org/10.1037/a0012736
- 699 Kemps, E., Tiggemann, M., & Hart, G. (2005). Chocolate cravings are susceptible to visuo-

spatial interference. *Eating Behaviors*, 6(2), 101–107.

- 701 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2004.08.006
- Kemps, E., Tiggemann, M., & Marshall, K. (2005). Relationship between dieting to lose
- weight and the functioning of the central executive. *Appetite*, 45(3), 287–294.
- 704 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2005.07.002
- Kiefer, I., Rathmanner, T., & Kunze, M. (2005). Eating and dieting differences in men and

- women. *The Journal of Men's Health & Gender*, 2(2), 194–201.
- 707 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmhg.2005.04.010
- Lawrence, N., O'Sullivan, J., Parslow, D., Javaid, M., Adams, R., Chambers, C., ...
- Verbruggen, F. (2015). Training response inhibition to food is associated with weight
- 710 loss and reduced energy intake. *Appetite*, 95, 17–28.
- 711 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2015.06.009
- 712 Limbers, C. a., & Young, D. (2015). Executive functions and consumption of fruits/
- vegetables and high saturated fat foods in young adults. *Journal of Health Psychology*,
- 714 20(5), 602–611. https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105315573470
- 715 Logie, R. H. (2016). Retiring the Central Executive. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental*
- 716 *Psychology*, 218(February), 1–32. https://doi.org/10.1080/17470218.2015.1136657
- 717 May, J., Andrade, J., Kavanagh, D., & Penfound, L. (2008). Imagery and strength of craving
- for eating, drinking, and playing sport. *Cognition and Emotion*, 22(4), 633–650.
- 719 https://doi.org/10.1080/02699930701446296
- May, J., Andrade, J., Panabokke, N., & Kavanagh, D. (2004). Images of desire: cognitive
- 721 models of craving. *Memory*, *12*(4), 447–61.
- 722 https://doi.org/10.1080/09658210444000061
- 723 May, J., Kavanagh, D. J., & Andrade, J. (2015). The Elaborated Intrusion Theory of desire: A
- 724 10-year retrospective and implications for addiction treatments. *Addictive Behaviors*,
- 725 *44*(2014), 29–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2014.09.016
- 726 Meule, A. (2016). Dieting and Food Cue-Related Working Memory Performance. *Frontiers*
- 727 *in Psychology*, 7(December), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01944

728	Meule, A.,	Lutz, A.,	Vögele,	C., &	Kübler,	A. (2	012). F	Food ci	avings	discriminate
	, , ,	, ,	() /			\ \			()	

- differentially between successful and unsuccessful dieters and non-dieters. Validation of
- the Food Cravings Questionnaires in German. *Appetite*, *58*(1), 88–97.
- 731 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2011.09.010
- 732 Meule, A., Skirde, A. K., Freund, R., Vögele, C., & Kübler, A. (2012). High-calorie food-
- cues impair working memory performance in high and low food cravers. *Appetite*, 59(2),

734 264–269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2012.05.010

- 735 Miyake, A., Friedman, N. P., Emerson, M. J., Witzki, a H., Howerter, A., & Wager, T. D.
- 736 (2000). The unity and diversity of executive functions and their contributions to
- 737 complex "Frontal Lobe" tasks: a latent variable analysis. *Cognitive Psychology*, 41(1),

738 49–100. https://doi.org/10.1006/cogp.1999.0734

- 739 Owen, A. M., Downes, J. J., Sahakian, B. J., Polkey, C. E., & Robbins, T. W. (1990).
- 740 Planning and spatial working memory following frontal lobe lesions in man.
- 741 *Neuropsychologia*, 28(10), 1021–1034. https://doi.org/10.1016/0028-3932(90)90137-D
- 742 Papies, E., Stroebe, W., & Aarts, H. (2008a). Healthy cognition: processes of self-regulatory
- success in restrained eating. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 34(9), 1290–
- 744 300. https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167208320063
- 745 Papies, E., Stroebe, W., & Aarts, H. (2008b). The allure of forbidden food: On the role of
- attention in self-regulation. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 44(5), 1283–
- 747 1292. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2008.04.008
- 748 Riggs, N., Chou, C.-P., Spruijt-Metz, D., & Pentz, M. A. (2010). Executive cognitive
- function as a correlate and predictor of child food intake and physical activity. *Child*
- 750 *Neuropsychology : A Journal on Normal and Abnormal Development in Childhood and*

751	Adolescence,	16(3),	279–92.	https://doi.o	org/10.	.1080/09297	7041003601488
		· · · · ·					

- Riggs, N., Spruijt-Metz, D., Sakuma, K.-L., Chou, C.-P., & Pentz, M. A. (2010). Executive
 cognitive function and food intake in children. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*, 42(6), 398–403. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneb.2009.11.003.
- 755 Rogers, P. J., & Green, M. W. (1993). Dieting, dietary restraint and cognitive performance.
- *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, *32*(1), 113–116. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.20448260.1993.tb01034.x
- 758 Sabia, S., Nabi, H., Kivimaki, M., Shipley, M. J., Marmot, M. G., & Singh-Manoux, A.
- 759 (2009). Health behaviors from early to late midlife as predictors of cognitive function:
- The Whitehall II study. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, *170*(4), 428–37.
- 761 https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwp161
- 762 Shaw, J., & Tiggemann, M. (2004). Dieting and working memory: preoccupying cognitions
- and the role of the articulatory control process. *British Journal of Health Psychology*, 9,
- 764 175–185. https://doi.org/10.1348/135910704773891032
- Strack, F., & Deutsch, R. (2004). Reflective and impulsive determinants of social behavior.
- 766 *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 8(3), 220–247.
- 767 https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327957pspr0803_1
- 768 Stunkard, A. J., & Messick, S. (1985). The three-factor eating questinnaire to measure dietary
- restraint, disinhibition and hunger. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 29(I), 71–83.
- Tiggemann, M., & Kemps, E. (2005). The phenomenology of food cravings: The role of
- 771 mental imagery. *Appetite*, 45(3), 305–313. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2005.06.004
- 772 Tiggemann, M., Kemps, E., & Parnell, J. (2010). The selective impact of chocolate craving

on visuospatial working memory. *Appetite*, *55*(1), 44–48.

- 774 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2010.03.010
- Van Koningsbruggen, G. M., Stroebe, W., Papies, E. K., & Aarts, H. (2011). Implementation
- intentions as goal primes: Boosting self-control in tempting environments. *European*

Journal of Social Psychology, *41*(5), 551–557. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.799

- Veling, H., Koningsbruggen, G. M. Van, Aarts, H., & Stroebe, W. (2014). Targeting
- impulsive processes of eating behavior via the internet. Effects on body weight.

780 *Appetite*, 78C, 102–109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2014.03.014

781 Verbruggen, F., Best, M., Bowditch, W. A., Stevens, T., & McLaren, I. P. L. (2014). The

inhibitory control reflex. *Neuropsychologia*, 65(312445), 263–278.

783 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2014.08.014

- Verbruggen, F., McLaren, I. P. L., & Chambers, C. D. (2014). Banishing the Control
- 785 Homunculi in Studies of Action Control and Behavior Change. *Perspectives on*
- 786 *Psychological Science : A Journal of the Association for Psychological Science*, 9(5),
- 787 497–524. https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691614526414
- 788 Vreugdenburg, L., Bryan, J., & Kemps, E. (2003). The effect of self-initiated weight-loss

dieting on working memory : The role of preoccupying cognitions. *Appetite*, 41, 291–

790 300. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-6663(03)00107-7

- Wardle, J., Haase, A. M., Steptoe, A., Nillapun, M., Jonwutiwes, K., & Bellisle, F. (2004).
- Gender differences in food choice: the contribution of health beliefs and dieting. *Annals*
- *of Behavioral Medicine*, 27(2), 107–116. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15324796abm2702_5