

Non-parametric lower bounds and unbiased estimators

S.Y.Novak

MDX University London, SST, The Burroughs NW44BT, UK

June 2016

Abstract

We introduce the notion of the *information index* and present a non-parametric generalisation of the Rao–Cramér inequality.

We show that unbiased estimators do not exist if the information index is larger than two.

For a typical non-parametric class \mathcal{P} of distributions **neither** estimator is asymptotically normal with the optimal rate *uniformly* over \mathcal{P} .

Key words: non-parametric lower bounds, information index, information function, uniform convergence.

1 Introduction

Typical estimation problem: given a sample X_1, \dots, X_n of i.i.d. observations from an unknown distribution $P \in \mathcal{P}$, estimate a **quantity of interest** a_P .

Hellinger distance: d_H^2 , χ^2 distances: d_χ^2 .

A typical **regularity condition**:

$$d_H^2(P_\theta; P_{\theta+h}) \sim \|h\|^2 I_\theta / 8 \text{ or } d_\chi^2(P_\theta; P_{\theta+h}) \sim \|h\|^2 I_\theta \quad (1)$$

as $h \rightarrow 0$ for every $\theta \in \Theta, \theta+h \in \Theta$, where I_θ is ‘‘Fisher’s information’’.

If (1) holds and estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ is unbiased, then

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} I_\theta \mathbb{E}_\theta \|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta\|^2 \geq 1/n. \quad (2)$$

This is the celebrated **Fréchet–Rao–Cramér inequality**.

If unbiased estimators with a finite second moments exist, then the optimal unbiased estimator is the one that turns a lower bound into equality.

Barankin [1]: a parametric estimation problem where **NO** unbiased estimator with $\mathbb{E}_\theta \|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta\|^2 < \infty$.

We argue: in typical **non-parametric situations** – **NO** unbiased estimators with a finite 2nd moment.

2 Information index

We extend the notion of regularity of a parametric family $\mathcal{P} = \{P_\theta, \theta \in \Theta\}$ of distributions.

Definition. *Parametric family \mathcal{P} obeys the **regularity condition** (R_H) if there exists number $\nu > 0$*

and function $I_{\cdot,H} > 0$ such that as $h \rightarrow 0$,

$$d_H^2(P_t; P_{t+h}) \sim I_{t,H} \|h\|^\nu \quad (t \in \Theta, t+h \in \Theta). \quad (R_H)$$

Similarly we define (R_χ) –regular parametric family.

We call ν the “*information*” index.

We call $I_{\cdot,H}$ the “*information*” function.

Information index ν indicates how “rich” or “poor” the class \mathcal{P} is.

Regular parametric family of distributions: $\nu = 2$.

(R_H) –**regular** parametric families: $\nu < 2$.

Non–parametric classes: (R_H) with $\nu > 2$.

Example 1. Let $P_t = \mathbf{U}[0; t]$, $\mathcal{P} = \{P_t, t > 0\}$. Then

$$d_H^2(P_{t+h}; P_t) \sim h/2t \quad (t \geq h \searrow 0).$$

Family \mathcal{P} is not regular in the traditional sense (cf. (1)).

Yet (R_H) holds with

$$\nu = 1, \quad I_{t,H} = 1/2t.$$

Non–uniform lower bound: for any estimator \hat{t}_n

$$\sup_{t>0} t^{-1} \mathbb{E}_t^{1/2}(\hat{t}_n - t)^2 \geq 0.8/(n-1.6) \quad (3)$$

as $n \geq 2$, while the *uniform* bound is

$$\sup_t \mathbb{E}_t^{1/2}(\hat{t}_n - t)^2 = \infty.$$

The optimal estimator $t_n^* = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}(n+1)/n$ is unbiased;

$$\mathbb{E}_t(t_n^* - t)^2 = t^2/n(n+2).$$

Lower bound indicates: the accuracy of estimation is determined by the *information index* and the *information function*.

Any *unbiased estimators* with finite second moment if (R_H) holds with $\nu > 2$?

We say set Θ obeys property (A_ε) if for every $t \in \Theta$ there exists $t' \in \Theta$ such that $\|t' - t\| = \varepsilon$. Property (A) holds if (A_ε) is in force for all small enough $\varepsilon > 0$.

Estimator $\hat{\theta}$ has “regular” bias if for every $t \in \Theta$ there exists $c_t > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathbb{E}_{t+h}\hat{\theta} - \mathbb{E}_t\hat{\theta}\| \sim c_t\|h\| \quad (h \rightarrow 0). \quad (4)$$

We write $a_n \gtrsim b_n$ if $a_n \geq b_n(1+o(1))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 1 Assume (R_χ) and (A) , and suppose that estimator \hat{t}_n has “regular” bias [obeys (4)].

If $\nu \in (0; 2)$, then

$$\sup_{t \in \Theta} I_{t, \chi}^{2/\nu} \mathbb{E}_t \|\hat{t}_n - t\|^2 / c_t^2 \gtrsim n^{-2/\nu} y_\nu^{2/\nu} / (e^{y_\nu} - 1) \quad (5)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where y_ν is the positive root of the equation $\nu y = 2(1 - e^{-y})$.

If $\nu > 2$, then $\mathbb{E}_t \|\hat{t}_n\|^2 = \infty$ ($\exists t \in \Theta$).

Thus, if $\nu \in (0; 2)$, then the accuracy of estimation for regular-bias estimators is $n^{-1/\nu}$.

Example 2. Parametric family \mathcal{P} with densities

$$f_\theta(x) = \varphi(x - \theta)/2 + \varphi(x + \theta)/2,$$

where φ is the standard normal density; $a_{P_\theta} = \theta$,

$$d_H(P_0; P_h) \sim h^2/4.$$

Thus, (R_H) holds with

$$\nu = 4, I_{t, H} = 1/16;$$

the accuracy of estimation cannot be better than $n^{-1/4}$.

General problem: estimate a quantity of interest a_P .

Corollary 2 *If (R_H) or (R_χ) holds with $\nu > 2$ and $\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{E}_P \|\hat{a}_n - a_P\|^2 < \infty$, then estimator \hat{a}_n is **biased**.*

3 Continuity moduli

Let a_P be an element of a metric space (\mathcal{X}, d) . For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we denote by

$$\mathcal{P}_H(P, \varepsilon) = \{Q \in \mathcal{P} : d_H(P; Q) \leq \varepsilon\}$$

the *neighborhood* of $P \in \mathcal{P}$. We call

$$\begin{aligned} w_H(P, \varepsilon) &= \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_H(P, \varepsilon)} d(a_P; a_Q)/2, \\ w_H(\varepsilon) &= \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} w_H(P, \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

the *moduli of continuity* of $\{a_P : P \in \mathcal{P}\}$.

Similarly we define $\mathcal{P}_\chi(P, \varepsilon)$, $\mathcal{P}_{TV}(P, \varepsilon)$, $w_\chi(\cdot)$, $w_{TV}(\cdot)$.

Continuity moduli describe how the ‘‘closeness’’ of a_Q to a_P reflects the ‘‘closeness’’ of Q to P .

The ‘‘richer’’ class \mathcal{P} , the poorer the accuracy of estimation.

Lemma 3 *Assume that for any $c > 0$ there exists $C \in (0; \infty)$ such that $w.(c\varepsilon) \leq Cw.(\varepsilon)$. For any estimator \hat{a}_n and every $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}$,*

$$\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_H(P_0, \varepsilon)} P(d(\hat{a}_n; a_P) \geq w_H(P_0, \varepsilon)) \geq (1 - \varepsilon^2)^{2n}/4, \quad (6)$$

$$\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_\chi(P_0, \varepsilon)} P(d(\hat{a}_n; a_P) \geq w_\chi(P_0, \varepsilon)) \geq [1 + (1 + \varepsilon^2)^{n/2}]^{-2}.$$

For example, (6) and Chebyshev’s inequality yield

$$\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_H(P_0, \varepsilon)} \mathbb{E}_P d(\hat{a}_n; a_P) \geq w_H(P_0, \varepsilon)(1 - \varepsilon^2)^n/2. \quad (7)$$

Maximize $w_H(P, \varepsilon)(1 - \varepsilon^2)^n$ in ε .

If for some $J_{H,P} > 0$

$$w_H(P, \varepsilon) \gtrsim J_{H,P} \varepsilon^{2r} \quad (\exists P \in \mathcal{P}) \quad (8)$$

then the rate of estimation cannot be better than n^{-r} .

If (R_H) holds for a parametric subfamily of \mathcal{P} , then

$$2w_H(P_t, \varepsilon) \sim (\varepsilon^2 / I_{t,H})^{1/\nu} \quad (9)$$

If (R_χ) holds, then

$$2w_\chi(P_t, \varepsilon) \sim (\varepsilon^2 / I_{t,\chi})^{1/\nu}.$$

Thus, (R_H) and/or (R_χ) yield (8) with

$$r = 1/\nu;$$

the accuracy of estimation cannot be better than $n^{-1/\nu}$.

If (8) holds for all small enough ε and $J_{H,\cdot}$ is uniformly continuous on \mathcal{P} , then

$$\sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} J_{H,P}^{-1} \mathbb{E}_P^{1/2} d(\hat{a}_n; a_P)^2 \gtrsim (r/e)^r n^{-r} / 2. \quad (10)$$

Calculating *continuity moduli* is not easy.

Example 3. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where $P_t = \mathcal{N}(t; 1)$, and let $a_{P_t} = t$ and $d(t; s) = |t - s|$. Then

$$w_H(P_t, \varepsilon) = \sqrt{\ln(1 - \varepsilon^2)^{-2}} \geq \sqrt{2} \varepsilon$$

for every t . Hence (8) and (10) hold with $J_{H,P} = \sqrt{2}$ and $r = 1/2$.

4 Uniform convergence

The rate of the accuracy of estimation cannot be better than $w_H(P, 1/\sqrt{n})$. If a_P is linear and class \mathcal{P} of distributions is convex, then there exists an estimator \hat{a}_n attaining this rate [2].

In typical **non-parametric** situations **neither** estimator converges *locally uniformly* with the optimal rate.

More information: [2, 3, 4].

Let \mathcal{P}' be a subclass of \mathcal{P} . Estimator \hat{a}_n converges weakly to a_P with the rate v_n *uniformly* in \mathcal{P}' if there exists a non-degenerate distribution P_0 such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}'} |P((\hat{a}_n - a_P)/v_n \in A) - P_0(A)| = 0 \quad (11)$$

for every measurable set $A \subset \mathcal{X}$ with $P_0(\partial A) = 0$.

Theorem 4 *Assume that $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}$, and let $P \in \mathcal{P}$. If $w_H(P, \varepsilon) \sim J_{H,P} \varepsilon^{2r}$, where $r < 1/2$, and*

$$\sup_{P_* \in \mathcal{P}_H(P, 1/\sqrt{n})} |J_{H,P_*}/J_{H,P} - 1| \rightarrow 0$$

*as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then **neither** estimator converges to a_P with the rate n^{-r} uniformly in $\mathcal{P}_H(P, 1/\sqrt{n})$.*

References

- [1] Barankin E. W. (1949) Locally best unbiased estimates. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, v. 20, 477–501.
- [2] Donoho D.L. & Liu R.C. (1991) Geometrizing rates of convergence II, III. — *Ann. Statist.*, v. 19, No 2, 633–667, 668–701.
- [3] Novak S.Y. (2011). *Extreme value methods with applications to finance*. — London: CRC. ISBN: 978-1-43983-574-6.
- [4] Pfanzagl J. (2000) On local uniformity for estimators and confidence limits. — *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, v. 84, 27–53.
- [5] Pfanzagl J. (2001) A nonparametric asymptotic version of the Cramér-Rao bound. — *State of the art in probability and statistics (Leiden, 1999)*, 499–517, *IMS Lecture Notes Monogr. Ser.*, v. 36, Inst. Math. Statist., Beachwood, OH.