## Tables

 Table 1. Factor loadings and Descriptive statistics.

Constructs/items	Factor	Maar
Constructs/ items	loading	Mean
Green performance management		
Employees know the specific environmental targets, goals and	0.754	2 5 9 1
responsibilities	0.734	5.561
Employee's environmental behavior and contributions to hotels'	0 767	2 615
environmental performance are assessed	0.707	5.015
Providing regular feedback to employees or teams to achieve	0 765	2 711
environmental goals or improve hotel's environmental performance	0.765	3./11
Achievement of environmental goals is seen as one of the criteria in	0.825	2 707
system of employee performance appraisal	0.823	3./8/
Roles of managers in achieving environmental outcomes included in	0.902	2 720
appraisals	0.805	3.728
Green reward		
Link suggestion schemes into reward system by introducing rewards for	0.947	2 575
innovative environmental initiative/performance	0.847	5.575
Hotel has non-monetary rewards for environmental achievements	0.844	3.542
Hotel has monetary rewards based on environmental achievements	0.850	3.475
Environmental performance is recognized publicly	0.880	3.528
Organizational citizenship behavior for the environment		
I suggest new practices that could improve the hotel's environmental	0 760	2 605
performance	0.700	5.005
I encourage my colleagues to adopt more environmentally conscious	0.717	2601
behaviors	0.717	3.084
I stay informed of the hotel's environmental efforts	0.772	3.648
I make suggestions about ways to protect the environment more	0 761	2 679
effectively	0.701	5.078
I volunteer for projects or activities that address the hotel's	0 719	2 600
environmental issues	0./18	5.008
I spontaneously give my time to help colleagues take the environment	0.726	3.605

into account		
I undertake environmental actions that contribute positively to the hotel's	0 760	3 661
image	0.700	5.001
Employee in-role green performance		
I complete the environmental duties specified in the job	0.875	3.598
I fulfill all environmental responsibilities required by the job	0.911	3.635
I never neglect environmental aspects of the job which I am obligated to	0 887	2 575
perform.	0.007	5.575

Table 2. Reliability and validity assessment

	CR	CrA	AVE	R	EW	P	EM	00	CBE	E	IGP
				FLC	HTMT	FLC	HTMT	FLC	HTMT	FLC	HTMT
REW	0.916	0.878	0.732	0.855	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PEM	0.888	0.843	0.614	0.507	0.591	0.783	-	-	-	-	-
OCBE	0.897	0.867	0.555	0.587	0.667	0.499	0.574	0.745	-	-	-
EIGP	0.921	0.870	0.794	0.594	0.678	0.556	0.647	0.669	0.766	0.891	-
Note: CR = Composite Reliability. CrA = Cronbach's Alpha. FLC = Fornell-Larcker											

Criterion. HTMT = Heterotrait-Monotrait Ratio. Square roots of AVE in bold font are on the main diagonal.

Table 3. Path coefficients of direct effects.

Paths	Coefficient(b)	P-value
REW -> EIGP	0.420*	0.000
PEM -> EIGP	0.342*	0.001
REW -> OCBE	0.448*	0.000
PEM -> OCBE	0.274*	0.009

Note: \* Confidence interval at the 0.05 level.

<b>Table 4.</b> I all coefficients of indirect effects
--

Paths	Coefficient(b)	P-value
REW -> OCBE -> EIGP	0.183*	0.012
PEM -> OCBE -> EIGP	0.300*	0.000

*Note:* \* Confidence interval at the 0.05 level.

 Table 5. Path coefficients of moderation analysis.

Coefficient(b)	P-value
0.551*	0.000
0.022	0.859
0.397*	0.000
0.038	0.678
	Coefficient(b) 0.551* 0.022 0.397* 0.038

Note: \* Confidence interval at the 0.05 level.

## Table 6. Conditional effect at moderators.

Moderator	Coefficient(b)	P-value	LLCI – ULCI
Culture x RE	EW -> EIGP		
Local	0.386*	0.000	0.253 - 0.518
Western	0.936*	0.000	0.797 – 1.076
Culture x RE	$EW \rightarrow OCBE$		
Local	0.259*	0.000	0.166 - 0.352
Western	0.656*	0.000	0.558 - 0.754

Note: \* Confidence interval at the 0.05 level.